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ABSTRACT

The purpose of this study is to determine factors influencing the competitiveness of small and medium clothing manufacturing enterprises in the eThekwini municipal district in KwaZulu-Natal. SMEs in the clothing manufacturing industry remain at lower competency. This appears to be as a consequence of a lack of knowledge and understanding of those factors that contribute to their competitiveness. The study aimed to determine which factors contribute to the competitiveness of manufacturing SMEs, while also describing how they impact these small clothing manufacturing industry enterprises in the eThekwini District Municipality of KZN.

Data were collected from different parts of the eThekwini district municipality, which include Durban central, South Coast of Durban, North Coast of Durban, and west parts of Durban. The sample consisted of 80 SME owners and managers of clothing manufacturing companies who are members of the National Bargaining Council for the clothing manufacturing industry in the eThekwini District Municipality. The research design embraces a quantitative research approach with a closed-ended questionnaire distributed to participants by the researcher. Due to lockdown restrictions posed by the COVID-19 (coronavirus) pandemic, and some participants restricting visitors, arrangements were made to receive and return the completed questionnaire through email. Data were analysed using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) version 27 for Windows.

Numerous factors were anticipated to challenge the competitiveness of clothing manufacturing SMEs in the eThekwini District Municipality, with cheap imports, technological advances, and a lack of competitiveness knowledge by both employers and employees amongst these.
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CHAPTER 1
OVERVIEW OF THE STUDY

1.1 Introduction
The clothing manufacturing industry is of great significant because of its substantial contribution to economic growth, job creation and innovation. According to Ambe and Matsoma (2017), the clothing manufacturing industry has been a key to the economy by employing low-skilled employees; it is one of the oldest sectors in the world. Locally, the South African clothing manufacturing industry has been faced with international competitiveness since 1994, due to authorised trade with other countries.

Satapathy (2020) stated that although the drive to promote and support products “made in South Africa”, South African clothing retailers are under a growing pressure, mainly due to international competitiveness, cheap imports from international countries such as China, which makes clothing manufactured in South Africa (SA) more expensive. This has resulted in the South African clothing manufacturing industry shedding many jobs, in addition to the closure of factories.

1.2 Background of the Study
According to Economic Development and Growth in eThekwini (2014: 18), the clothing manufacturing industry has been key to the economy by creating jobs that primarily employ low-skilled employees; it is one of the oldest sectors in the world. While the South African clothing manufacturing industry has been faced with international competitiveness since 1994, it is also one of the sectors in the country that has received much attention from government through funding schemes.

Hans (2019) explained that a “master-plan” to revive the textile and clothing industry was submitted to the Department of Trade and Industry (DTI) in January 2019, aiming to reshape the industry by ceasing illegal imports. Hans (2019) further stated that the SA clothing industry hopes the master-plan could be achieved by reducing the ports of entry by which illegal imports are allowed; the plan also expects to create approximately 60 000 jobs by the year 2030. Therefore, the government has supported the proposed master-plan in protecting local clothing manufacturers from foreign competitors, which would hopefully increase competitiveness.
With the world facing the COVID-19 pandemic, the SA government decided to introduce national lockdown levels with regulations that forced the clothing industry to shut down and stop most production in progress as they were not recognised as essential. Some small factories did not survive the storm. However, the industry had been struggling even prior to COVID-19, as illegal imports dominate its market. In addition, while SA is no longer competitive in the clothing industry, it can rebuild through government intervention (Satapathy 2020).

As a result, small and medium enterprises (SMEs) in the SA clothing manufacturing industry still face intense pressure to maintain competitiveness. Nonetheless, these small enterprises remain at lower competency.

1.3 Problem Statement
SMEs are regarded as a significant contributor to economic growth, job creation, and innovation. While SMEs contribute to large firms as suppliers, they need to cope with factors that contribute to their viability to maintain competitiveness (Taçoğlu, Ceylan and Kazançoğlu 2019). SMEs are also highly flexible, enabling them to respond quickly to market and customer needs.

However, SMEs in the clothing manufacturing industry continue to face intense pressure to maintain competitiveness. This is contributed to inadequate government regulations that have resulted in increased cheap importation from international markets, hence affecting local competitiveness, while SMEs are incapacitated. This seems to stem from a lack of knowledge and understanding of the factors that contribute to their competitiveness (Lekhanya and Visser 2016: 73).

Nonetheless, Thulo (2019) stated that the 2018/19 SME South African report revealed some contributing challenges for SMEs, such as access to markets, with 52 percent of SME owners saying they need much assistance in this area. Furthermore, entrepreneurs find the industries they are operating in highly competitive. In addition, South African SMEs face competitive pressure from illegal imports, poor planning, and poor cash flow, while inefficient management skills have remained a critical factor that hinders their ability to grow and achieve competitiveness (Mugo, Kahuthia and Kinyua 2019: 136).

Furthermore, even before the coronavirus outbreak and national lockdown, SA SMEs in the clothing industry struggled to maintain competitiveness (Kalidas, Magwentshu
and Rajagopaul 2020), highlighting the pressure COVID-19 put on their operations and competitiveness. Hence, the inability of these small enterprises to cope with competitive standards that change radically is also due to changing customer needs and expectations, technological developments, and market globalisation (Sitharam and Hoque 2016: 279). SME growth and achieving competitiveness are increasingly dependent on the ability to cope with changing factors that contribute to their competitiveness.

1.4 Research Aims and Objectives
The aim of this study is to determine factors influencing the competitiveness of small and medium clothing manufacturing enterprises in the eThekwini municipal district in KwaZulu-Natal (KZN).

To achieve the aim of this study, the following objectives are pursued:

- To identify factors contributing to the competitiveness of SMEs in the clothing manufacturing industry at the eThekwini municipal district in KZN.
- To investigate how factors of competitiveness influence SMEs in the clothing manufacturing industry at the eThekwini municipal district in KZN.
- To assist the SMEs of the eThekwini municipal district in KZN within the clothing industry with improving the understanding of factors affecting their competitiveness.
- To suggest and recommend relevant measures that could be employed to improve the competitiveness of SMEs in the clothing manufacturing industry at the eThekwini municipal district in KZN.

1.5 Rationale of the study
As the SA economy opens to international competition, firms must collaborate and pool their resources to meet the intense competitiveness challenges that emerge (KZNCTC 2017). The study will contribute to the body of knowledge of SME competitiveness, particularly in the clothing manufacturing industry. In addition, the research will be helpful to SMEs in clothing manufacturing by guiding them in making informed decisions regarding competitiveness.

Furthermore, the study intends to enable SMEs to grow, as the research will support SMEs in making strategic decisions concerning competitiveness factors and thus increase their level of competitiveness.
1.6 Literature Review

1.6.1 Overview of South African Clothing Industry

Nattrass and Seekings (2019: 2) stated that the SA clothing industry, which also forms part of the SA manufacturing industry, is labour-intensive and plays a crucial role in the country’s economic growth by creating jobs. The Organisation for Economic Co-operation Development (OECD 2019) reported that the clothing industry is collectively responsible for most employment (90 percent) and value-added (80 percent) to the Clothing, Textile, Footwear and Leather (CTFL) industry. This industry employs approximately 95,000 workers, contributes eight percent to the manufacturing Gross Domestic Product (GDP) and nine percent to the overall GDP of SA. The experience of the SA clothing industry is much as that of other countries such as Bangladesh (Hossian, Kabir and Latifee 2019: 46). For instance, the manner and rate at which competition escalated at an elevated level both locally and internationally after 1994, when the industry re-joined the global economy.

1.6.2 Definition of Competitiveness

Competitiveness means being able to win or be the best in the market in comparison to others of the same kind. Blas (2018) added that it is the ability of competing more successfully than others, while Martinović (2018: 178) defined competitiveness as “the ability of a firm to produce a unique strategy that adds value to its success”. Bismala and Handayani (2017: 417) and Prasada et al. (2020) described competitiveness as derived from value creation; it is thus a tool used to create the value of the business and a barrier for competitors.

1.6.3 Determinants of Competitiveness

According to Mastamet-Mason and Mbatha (2018: 144), the “determinants of competitiveness are Porter's factor conditions, which refer to inputs necessary for production to occur and conducive for a company to gain competitive advantage”. As explained by Ergashxodjaeva et al. (2018), the competitive advantage of the enterprise is mainly dependent on the competitive environment created in the enterprise. Guan et al. (2019) state there are numerous means an enterprise can use to determine a competitive environment, adding that “factors of production are essential for the enterprise's competitiveness” (Guan et al. 2019: 7). A study by Matrutty, Franksisca and Damayanti (2018) shows that improvement in living standards is considered a contributing factor in accelerating demand.
Factors Contributing to Competitiveness

Although labour cost is one of the significant variables influencing the competitiveness of the industry, Taylor et al. (2021) further deliberated that labour costs impact the sector's performance in many ways, such as lack of exports, as experienced by the South African clothing manufacturing industry. Bibi et al. (2020) investigated the relationship between firm size and innovation, with the results indicating that firm size and creation positively influence a firm's competitiveness. In addition, Führer and Michel (2004: 251) maintained that the size of a firm could be used as the key determinant of cooperative competitiveness. Sibiya and Kele (2019: 14) find the importance of international market expansion by SMEs and stresses some challenges in accessing the foreign markets, these include a bureaucratic red tape hinders SMEs to access foreign markets.

Moreover, informal recruitment, lower wages, longer working hours, and unsafe working conditions remain as obstacles to achieving better working conditions (Islam and Islam 2018; Khan et al. 2020). Muda and Rahman (2016) also stressed the importance of human capital to the business world, while Susanto and Wasito (2017: 283; 284) reviewed that the application of technological innovations is essential to ensure continuous improvement, hence, to achieve competitiveness. SMEs strongly depend on finance to grow, restructure, and innovate, with finance still seen as the key to any business becoming successful.

1.6.4 Competitiveness of SMEs in the South African Clothing Manufacturing Industry

It is asserted by Mohan (2018) that competitiveness could be achieved by deciding the firm's resources, also known as a resource-based view, so it can be noted whether the firm has potential resources to achieve competitiveness. Therefore, the ability of the firm to build competitiveness also depends on its resources and capabilities. However, due to a lack of financial resources, the shortage of industrial machines has negatively influenced the competitiveness of SMEs in the clothing manufacturing industry. Shen (2017) stated that most SMEs are not ready for emerging technologies, such as automation, due to the lack of finance and most believing it to be cheaper to hire foreign workers instead of implementing these technologies.
1.7 Research Methodology

1.7.1 Research Design and Methodology
Research design and methodology can be described as the specific procedures or systematic plan which focuses on the research process. This is achieved through a specific way research data is selected, to be collected, analysed, and interpreted by the researcher (Almalki 2016: 290). Based on the market size for this study, the research design and methodology approach used comprised a quantitative research approach, which emphasises objective measurements and the statistical, mathematical, or numerical analysis of data collected through a questionnaire (Queirós, Faria and Almeida 2017).

Therefore, for this study, data were analysed using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) version 27 for Windows, generating graphs, and charts to illustrate findings.

1.7.2 Pilot Test
As explained by Wright (2018), pilot testing is used to test the accuracy of the research approach on a small number of participants prior to it being distributed to the entire population. To reduce risk and refine the questionnaire, pilot testing was done on 10 randomly selected SME owners/ managers who did not participate in the main study. Results obtained from the pilot testing were not included in the main study.

1.7.3 Study Location
The researcher selected participants in the study based on their membership of the National Bargaining Council for the clothing manufacturing industry in the eThekwini District Municipality, located in the SA province of KZN. The identified enterprises operate their clothing manufacturing SMEs in the south of Durban, to the north of Durban and Durban central, while some indicated their operations area as ‘other’. These areas of operations were determined by where respondent businesses were located.

1.7.4 Target Population
The target population refers to a group of people or objects the researcher requires to generalise the results of the research (Mugo, Kahuthia and Kinyua 2019: 141). According to the National Bargaining Council for the clothing manufacturing industry (2021), the council consists of 290 members of the small and medium clothing
manufacturers in South Africa, 120 members in KZN and 80 members in the eThekwini District Municipality as of May 2021. Therefore, the target population for this study was clothing manufacturers that are members of the National Bargaining Council for the clothing manufacturing industry in the eThekwini District Municipality; clothing manufacturing owners and managers were sent a questionnaire.

1.7.5 Inclusion Criteria
The term “inclusion criteria”, as Patino and Ferreira (2018) explained, refers to the target population that the researcher is willing to investigate and the group that will participate in the study. Therefore, the study was confined only to SMEs in clothing manufacturing industry at the eThekwini District Municipality of KZN that are members of the National Bargaining Council for the clothing manufacturing industry in the eThekwini District Municipality, due to time constraints and costs.

1.7.6 Exclusion Criteria
Patino and Ferreira (2018) state that exclusion criteria refer to the target population of the potential participants of the study who meet all the requirements to participate in the study but have additional characteristics that could interfere with the success of the study. According to the National Bargaining Council for the clothing manufacturing industry (2021), the council has 290 members, however, due to geographical factors, costs, and time, this study could not investigate all 290 members.

1.7.7 Sample Size
Sewraj (2020) asserted that the sampling size refers to the number of sample units selected for which data will be collected, too small sample yields unreliable results while large sample demands more resources. Therefore, to gather reliable results, the sample size for this study comprised 80 participants who are members of the National Bargaining Council for the clothing manufacturing industry in the eThekwini District Municipality.

1.7.8 Sampling Procedure
According to Elfil and Negida (2017), there are two types of sampling methods, probability, and non-probability methods. In a probability sample all individuals have a chance of being chosen for the sample, while with a non-probability sample there is no guarantee who is going to be chosen. However, non-probability methods tend to be
cheaper and more convenient. For this study, non-probability sampling was used for its convenience.

1.7.9 Measurement Instrument
Yaya (2014) states that measuring instruments refer to the method through which data will be obtained from the respondents. The measuring instrument used in this study to collect data from participants was a self-completion, 5-point Likert scaled questionnaire with closed-ended questions. All questions were developed from the literature review and objectives of the study.

1.7.10 Data Collection Method
Moises Jr (2020: 80) defined data collection as the action of gathering data using variables of interest in a way that participants can answer questionnaires. In addition, Dossetto (2020) stated that a closed-ended questionnaire is easier and quicker to answer, while it is also extremely easy to compare responses from different respondents. Therefore, a closed-ended questionnaire was used in this study to collect information from participants.

Due to lockdown restrictions posed by COVID-19 (coronavirus), which resulted in some participants restricting visitors, arrangements were made with those participants who do not allow visitors on their premises to complete questionnaires online, therefore, a link of questionnaire was sent through participant’s emails.

1.7.11 Data Analysis
All data obtained from the closed-ended questionnaire were analysed using SPSS version 27 for Windows, a package designed for analysing statistical data using graphs and charts.

1.7.12 Validity and Reliability
Validity and Reliability are used, according to Middleton (2019), to measure the quality of research, however, while the accuracy of the research is used to measure reliability, the consistency of the research is used to measure validity. Therefore, to ensure both study validity and reliability, the researcher measured the results obtained from the pilot test.
1.7.13 Anonymity and Confidentiality

Njogu (2019) explained that the act of keeping personal respondent information secret from the public is known as confidentiality, whereas anonymity is when respondents remain anonymous throughout the entire study. The researcher ensured not to disclose identifiable information about participants and protected their identity by not publishing respondent names; no personal details of any respondent were revealed when compiling the report. Confidentiality and anonymity were clarified in the letter of information; therefore, participants had the right to participate or withdraw from the study.

1.7.14 Ethical Consideration

Obtaining consent from all participants was researchers’ responsibility, protecting them from harm and maintaining confidentiality, this view is supported by Akaranga and Makau (2016), who state that ethical consideration is important in the research journey and requires that researchers should protect the dignity of their participants and publish well the information that they both agreed about.

Therefore, in this study, ethical clearance was obtained from the University Ethics Committee before the study was conducted. The researcher also assured the respondents that information provided for this study was going to be used solely for study purpose and participants were inform that they can withdraw from the study at any time.

Completed and returned questionnaires will be stored in safe storage for five years and thereafter be shredded, electronic records will be kept on the researchers’ cloud software system for five years and thereafter be deleted.

1.7.15 Limitations of The Study

This study did not cover all clothing manufacturing SMEs in all municipal districts in KZN and other provinces of SA and was limited to only one district municipality. Therefore, the results of the study cannot be generalised to all SA enterprises. Due to the size of the country's geographic profiles, it was difficult to research every company in every province; therefore, further research needs to include a large sample of other companies in other provinces.

1.8 Structure of Dissertation/ Thesis Chapters

Chapter 1: Background and overview of the study:
Chapter 1 gives an overview of the study. Addresses the problem statement, the main aim of the study research objectives, key research questions, and rationale or significance of the study.

Chapter 2: Literature Review:
Chapter 2 provides an overview of previous research on the competitiveness of SMEs in the Clothing Manufacturing industry.

Chapter 3: Research methodology:
Chapter 3 discusses the research design and methodology, target population, sampling method, measuring instruments, data collection, data analysis, pilot testing, validity and reliability, anonymity and confidentiality, and ethical considerations.

Chapter 4: Presentation, analysis of results, and discussion of findings. Findings from the primary and data analysis will be provided in this chapter.

Chapter 5: Conclusion and recommendations:
Chapter 5 concludes with interpretations of the study findings and tentative recommendations arising from the empirical analysis. The chapter concludes with direction for future research.

1.9 Conclusion
The main aim of this study is to determine factors influencing the competitiveness of small and medium clothing manufacturing enterprises in the eThekwini municipal district in the KZN province. With the study outline provided, the next chapter focuses on a review of literature pertaining to the topic and related matters.
2.1 Introduction
In this chapter, a literature review is presented to examine the theories associated with the factors influencing the competitiveness of SMEs in the clothing manufacturing industry. The purpose of the literature review is to highlight those factors SMEs use to achieve competitiveness and combine with general challenges faced by the SA clothing manufacturing industry. The study will include all aspects of the topic, for instance, the influence of competitiveness on SME growth, different theories of sustaining competitiveness, which can be used to improve competitiveness.

2.2 Overview of SA Clothing industry
While it forms part of the SA manufacturing industry, the SA clothing industry is described by Nattrass and Seekings (2019: 2) as labour-intensive and by creating jobs, plays a crucial role in the country’s economic growth. Most employment (90 percent) in the CTFL industry is reported to be collectively generated by the clothing industry while it is also responsible for value-added (80 percent) to the sector. Not only does this industry employ approximately 95 000 workers, it also contributes eight percent to the manufacturing GDP of SA and nine percent to the country's overall GDP (OECD 2019).

In addition, the clothing industry is one of the SA industries with a tremendous absorptive capacity for employing unskilled labour and many uneducated people. The sector believes to be in a highly competitive environment with its challenges, ranging from international imports to the shortage of raw materials, such as fabric, which has negatively impacted its ability to cope with the lower prices of imported garments.

The experience of the SA clothing industry is quite like that of other countries such as Bangladesh (Hossian, Kabir and Latifee 2019: 46), for instance, how competition escalated at an elevated level both locally and internationally after 1994 when the industry re-joined the global economy. The industry is currently affected by several issues, including managerial skills and import tariffs:
Managerial skills
According to Islam and Islam (2018: 64), one of the most significant challenges for the clothing industry lies in management training and development. The rising complexity and speed of business, productivity pressures, innovation, process upgrading, chain management, strategy formulation, coordination, and information management. In addition to people development, some areas require specialised attention and development interventions for managers to meet the demands of modern business. Both management and the workforce need to pay attention to skills development for the growth of an organisation. Russel (2018) acknowledged that the shortage of skills in the clothing industry remains a challenge while finding that failure to respond to skill shortages will affect the industry’s performance; the author added that improving the industry performance collectively lies between all players in the supply chain.

In addition to the lack of management skills, SA clothing manufacturers, particularly SMEs, also lack skills required at the management level. These poor management skills amongst SME owners and managers can be attributed to insufficient education and training (Leboea 2017: 58); therefore, this has reduced management capabilities in the SME sector. Lack of education and training is one reason there is an extremely high failure rate of SMEs.

Import tariffs
As explained by Wardle (1996: 26), tariffs refer to the tax charged on imports. High tariffs negatively affect clothing manufacturers that source raw materials such as fabric from foreign markets. SA signed the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade (GATT) proposal in 1994, which marked the onset of trade liberalisation in SA, with the expectation of promoting export growth and increased direct foreign investment.

In 1995, the GATT, which covers international trade in goods, led to the World Trade Organisation (WTO) creation, which superseded the international trade agreement, dealing instead with international trade rules between member nations. The Council for Trade in Goods is responsible for implementing the GATT agreement, with council members drawn from representatives of all WTO member countries (WTO 2021).

Tariff barriers on imports are significantly lower in SA, resulting in higher imports than exports and lower import tariffs on goods, which have created tight competition between SA clothing manufacturing firms and retailers, such as Mr. Price and the
Edcon Group (MSME 2020; OECD 2020). An annual report on the outlook of SME competitiveness stated that SA must increase trade access for its firms to fast-growing developing economies in order to harness growing demand (OECD 2020).

Furthermore, it is necessary to push for reduced tariffs on SA exports and remove non-tariff barriers as the SA clothing industry is lagging in terms of international competition and innovating within the organisation, which is aggravated by poor managerial skills.

2.2.1 Overview of SA Clothing manufacturing industry using macro-environmental analysis

Improving the industry essentially requires an understanding of its external and internal environments to identify any hindering factors. Nonetheless, macro environmental factors affecting the clothing industry lie outside the company, and business owners have less control over these external factors, with their impact in changing them thus minimal.

To gain a better insight into factors contributing to SME competitiveness in the clothing industry, Political, Economic, Social, Technological, Environmental, and Legal (PESTEL) analysis (Figure 2.1) will be applied to determine the state of the SA clothing industry. According to Nguyen and Le (2020: 23), the six external factors that form the term PESTEL affect the function of any organisation, either good or bad. It is a strategic analysis tool used to make sense of the external environment.
2.2.1.1 Political Factors

SA gained political independence after the release of former President Nelson Mandela from jail, following which SA acquired its freedom and democracy in 1994. In becoming a democratic country, SA manufacturing faces tense global competition from Asian countries such as China. In addition, most SA clothing manufacturers have been struggling to cope with global competition due to cheap imports (Wood and Bischoff 2019).

According to Jenvey (2020), the country's clothing industry has been under intense pressure since the establishment of the WTO in 2004. However, workers' rights from various sectors were a priority with the SA government and different unions. As a result, the Congress of SA Trade Unions (COSATU) and the Clothing Bargaining Council still regulate working conditions on shop floors, labourers' employment equity, and fair wages through the participation of government departments, such as the department of employment. Matthyse (2018: 17), however, stated that "The Bargaining Council and
many clothing companies are in dispute over the new minimum wage rate. Many smaller companies that are dissenting with the new minimum wage rates indicate that, should the execution be enforced, they will have to close permanently, and more jobs can be lost."

Pressures faced by the clothing industry are echoed in findings by Fundira (2016), reporting that import restrictions such as the government response on imports from China and Asia were shown to have negatively impacted on SA clothing industry due to these countries offering inexpensive and low-priced products that local enterprises could not compete with it.

Furthermore, Nieuwenhuizen (2019: 673) found that confusion caused by regulatory measures is a burden faced by SMEs in SA, with frequent changes in the regulatory environment, "the need to keep track of overlapping and sometimes conflicting regulatory requirements across multiple departments and levels of government, poor communication and access to information, and administrative inefficiencies in government departments and municipalities." All the above regulatory matters mean that SME owners and managers spend a disproportionate amount of time dealing with regulatory compliance. In addition, time spent dealing with governmental compliance, legal and regulatory red tape, and not focusing on income-generating activities represents income loss.

Nieuwenhuizen (2019) concluded that the SA Government has failed to achieve its own goal of supplying a stimulating and empowering environment for small-, medium- , and micro-enterprises (SMMEs). Therefore, more outstanding commitment is needed to implement the government's existing development plans, cease continuous increases in regulations, and focus on limiting red tape that affects SMEs.

2.2.1.2 Economic Factors
Matthyse (2018: 12) argued that SMEs contribute to many countries' economies due to their contribution to job creation. While Nguyen and Le (2020: 24) found the economic factor to be an uncontrollable factor, companies cannot change any economic impact; instead, they can prepare for any financial circumstances. Therefore, future customer spending, tax rates, exchange rates, interest rates, and the country's GDP, inflation, and employment, can be planned by assessing economic factors (Leboea 2017). The high rate of unemployment that pushes people to open a small
business to survive, not to grow, also kills the country's economy. Leboea (2017) added that tax is one of the major inhibitors for the development of SMEs. The higher the tax rate implemented by the government for SMEs, the more significant the reduction in profit.

Key economic factors affecting the clothing industry are economic growth, interest rate, exchange rate, employment, inflation, and disposable income of consumers and businesses. The MSME (2020) determined that the economic damage from the COVID-19 pandemic with lockdown regulation has left the SA economy unstable, with many economic activities forced to stop by these restrictions unless producing essential goods or services. As a result, the clothing industry’s economic activity has only been limited to producing protective cloth masks. In addition, it is not easy for the SA economy to recover, due to the shortage of electricity from the power utility resulting in load shedding/reduction (MSME 2020; OECD 2020). Moreover, issues such as policy uncertainty also hold SMEs back in terms of decision-making.

2.2.1.3 Social Factors

According to Nguyen and Le (2020), social factors include population groups, age, gender, and carrier attitudes; these factors should be considered as it is believed they shape fashion trends. Nguyen and Le (2020: 24) described social factors as socio-cultural aspects that affect the organizations' operation. In addition, by classifying these factors into two categories, namely demographic and cultural characteristics, the authors explain demographics as the factor that relates to the structure of populations. Schaltegger and Wagner (2017: 65-68) discuss critical social factors that companies need to consider affecting a company’s performance; these include rate of employment, living and working conditions, population size, availability of skills, level of income. Tran (2020: 9) maintained that an organization needs to adapt to the community’s attitude as they cannot change the above-mentioned social factors.

The SA population continues to grow, with growth in various industries such as the clothing industry. At the same time, population growth also shows that people will continue to buy clothes, and as fashion changes, they would like to buy the latest trend in the market. Hernandez (2019: 33) maintained that social factors are more related to economic factors. Companies need to pay attention to these factors to achieve higher productivity, better performance, and higher growth (Ferreira 2020: 23-24).
2.2.1.4 Technological Factors
Technological factors that are found outside the business, can influence how the business functions, and are associated with a company's systems development and improvement. As stated by Bush (2019), technological factors refer to the variables of technological trends available within the industry. Some may be favourable, and some may be unfavourable, including research and design (R&D), automation, 3D printing, and smart factories. Ferreira (2020: 24) highlighted that investing in new technologies can help businesses improve their innovations and operations. Thus, Tran (2020: 9) adds that adopting new technological factors may influence company communication with their stakeholders. It was also asserted by Phan (2021: 38-39) that technological developments continue to force businesses to change the way of doing business; for instance, technological developments have influenced many businesses to move from the traditional business world, to doing business online.

In terms of technological developments, it must be considered that SME growth may be hindered because of the limited available resources. Furthermore, Leboea (2017) established that corruption, lack of infrastructure, tight competition among SMEs, insufficient communication skills, and the lack of finance obstruct SMEs in implementing innovations.

2.2.1.5 Environmental Factors
Bush (2019) described the environmental analysis as those variables that include weather, pollution, geographical locations, and climate changes, adding that these factors usually negatively impact business. These factors could result in customer changing behaviour, their willingness to buy services or products, while the variables could also lead to a scarcity of raw materials, such as water needed to die of fabric and grow cotton.

According to Toprak and Anis (2017: 429), government and public awareness have forced companies and organizations to produce environmentally friendly; this includes reusing, recycling, and reduce waste. Giannetti et al. (2020) state that, for this reason, clothing manufacturing companies have focused on an environmentally friendly way of production, which includes the globalised strategy of sending all waste from cutting and trimming the fabric back to suppliers; this strategy helps suppliers who can turn the waste into new material.
The chain of minimizing waste in the clothing manufacturing industry should start with the designers; they should work closely with suppliers, ensuring accurate measurements; this means SMEs should provide proper training and education for designers to minimise waste (Silva et al. 2021).

### 2.2.1.6 Legal Factors

Bush (2019) defined the legal factor as referring to the laws and regulations that affect business operations and customer behaviour, including consumer laws and employment laws. In addition, Bush (2019) argued that inflexible SA labour legislation has led to many clothing manufacturers moving to neighbouring countries where the labour cost is lower. Bartik et al. (2020) agreed that some laws and regulations could restrain companies from operating normally; in reference to the SA COVID-19 lockdown. The authors added that lockdown regulations, such as the closure of businesses that do not supply essential services, can be regarded as a legal factor that resulted in many companies, specifically small enterprises, losing business, either shut down or forced to revert retrenchment. According to Nieuwenhuizen (2019: 667), however, some SA regulations negatively impact the growth of SMEs, including compliance with specific regulations not being an easy task for all small enterprises.

Syed (2020: 214-215) asserts that government and bargaining councils and workers' unions are forcing factories to comply with minimum wage legislation. Nompumelelo (2014) reported that most of these factories are operating as SMMEs and should not be compared to big formal firms. She adds that, should SMMEs be forced to pay the minimum wages, people will be retrenched, resulting in more imports entering the country.

### 2.2.2 Overview of SA Clothing industry using Porter's five forces

Watchravesringkan et al. (2010: 577) found that using Porter's Determinants can help the clothing manufacturing sector achieve global competitiveness; for instance, the Thai Apparel Industry has gained global competitiveness using Porter's five forces.

Not only do Porter's five forces supply tools to analyse competitiveness, they also help to understand firm competitiveness or the industry within the market. Therefore, it is crucial to consider Porter's five forces to conclude the firm or industry's competitiveness.
According to Martin (2019), it is crucial for any enterprise to understand the level of competitiveness within its industry and this can be achieved by using Porter's five forces, which determine whether a business can gain competitiveness among its competitors in the same field or industry. Hence, Matthyse (2018: 29) described the importance of Porter's five forces in analysing the competitive intensity of a business and its profitability.

The competitiveness of SMEs is analysed by considering Porter's five forces with its dimensions of competitive rivalry, the threat of new entrants, bargaining power of suppliers, and bargaining power of customers, as well as threats of substitute products. These forces and their influence on SA SMEs (Figure 2.2) in the clothing manufacturing industry are described below.

![Porter's Five Forces Model](source: Adapted from Joan Magretta (2011))

### 2.2.2.1 Competitive rivalry

Blas (2018), on the one hand, defined competitive rivalry as competitors supplying the same market with the same products and services that have the same characteristics. On the other hand, Martin (2019) described competitive rivalry as one of the forces used to examine the level of competitiveness among existing competitors. However, Amelia et al. (2019: 171) explained it as the determinant a company must use to check its level of competitiveness; besides, competition may be tight or slack. Whereas tight
competition can indicate more rivalry targeting the same market share, slack competition decreases this activity.

Furthermore, Amelia et al. (2019: 170) argued that competitive rivalry is developed when a company feels pressure from its competitors. It was further found that factors associated with competitive rivalry include the number of competitors, industry growth, fixed costs, and differentiation or switching costs (Amelia et al. 2019: 170; Martin 2019). According to Matthyse (2018: 33), rivalry is intense, with companies potentially being profitable when industry growth is slow, while identical products and services can restrain a competitor to not increase their prices.

It was also asserted by Bush (2019) that although competitors within the clothing industry are producing related products, it does not restrain a competitor from increasing their prices. Assorted brands offer a competitive advantage to companies with a strong brand; therefore, brands are used to dictate price.

2.2.2.2 Threat of New Entry
Blas (2018) described a new entry as a company able to compete with other businesses by supplying the same market and identical products and services. This classifies the clothing industry as an elevated risk of new entries; barriers to entry are low, with low fixed costs and simple technology, labour intensive production, and high traceability of goods. The sector is a significant absorber of large numbers of unskilled, primarily female, workers supplying upgrading opportunities, hence, affecting the sustainability of development processes (Morris, Barnes and Kao 2016).

Nonetheless, Amelia et al. (2019: 171) found that, in most cases, some barriers can limit the possibilities for new competitors to enter the same industry, which among others, include the following:

- **Product differentiation**
  Product differentiation can relate to a design or even after-sales service, making it difficult for new entrants to compete with existing companies. It may not be an easy task for new entrants to act quickly on product changes.

- **Brand Recognition**
  This new entry barrier relates to brand loyalty and trust that an existing company has with its market. Thus, new entrants may find it difficult to compete against a well-recognized and established brand.
• **Initial capital requirement**
New companies may struggle with capital to invest in start-up costs, such as equipment and materials.

• **Access to distribution channels**
It is exceedingly difficult for new entrants to trust clients when they cannot be sold through them.

• **Government barriers**
The government may impose regulations that may limit new entries, including barriers such as license requirements, operating times, and taxes.

• **Cost advantage**
Lowering product or service prices may hinder new entrants, as it can be difficult for new entrants to gain entry by reducing their costs.

• **Economies of scale**
Producing products on a large scale can serve as an entry barrier to new companies, with these new enterprises finding it challenging to make in volume while they are fresh in the market.

According to Islam and Pattak (2017: 106), encouragement and support from the government may motivate the industry to increase export income. Gonyora *et al.* (2021: 2) further report that because there are many unutilized resources, the threat for the local market is not so high. Many scholars have asserted that factories could not reach the economies of scale and thus allow new entrants into the market (Leboea 2017; Vendrell-Herrero *et al.* 2017). Since there is high growth, the threat to new entry is negligible. Nevertheless, there is an increased threat of new entrants internationally because it is a labour-intensive industry. In addition, many countries such as China and India are trying to capture the international market with their technological and huge labour advantage.

**2.2.2.3 Bargaining Power of Suppliers**
As Izni and Wandebori (2020: 2) explained, the bargaining power of suppliers refers to the pressure suppliers can put on companies by raising their prices, lowering their quality, or reducing the availability of their products. This framework is a standard part of business strategy. Martin (2019) acknowledged that the bargaining power of the supplier in an industry affects the competitive environment, profit, and potential for buyers.
In addition, Matthyse (2018) argued that several suppliers determine the importance of the relationship between a supplier and firms, while other scholars identified that mutual understanding between supplier and buyer helps to grow the industry (Amelia et al. 2019: 173; Sithole, Sithole and Chirimuta 2018). SA clothing manufacturers are, however, not powerful where raw material such as fabric is concerned, because most rely on imported fabric, primarily from China. Moreover, SA textile factories do not have capacity to satisfy local clothing manufacturers; even though some may have the capability, prices are too high compared to imports.

2.2.2.4 Threat of Substitute products
Amelia et al. (2019: 171) described the threat of substitute products as a shift of customers driven by similar, cheap, innovative substitute products. Literature by Izni and Wandebori (2020) agreed that the clothing manufacturing industry from developing countries has been experiencing a decline due to cheaper international imported garments. Miao, Yushi and Borojo (2020) found that China has been exporting manufactured garments to African countries cheaply for many years. SME manufacturers find it exceedingly difficult to make and sell their products because of these cheap imports.

Kumbara (2020) determined that the relationship between substitute products and the bargaining power of buyers, is such that customers can influence firms to suppress prices and demand quality products.

2.2.2.5 Bargaining Power of Buyers
According to Bush (2019), the power of customers is an essential force as it holds the bargaining ability of buyers, who can choose to push down prices, not buy products, or switch retailers. In the case of the clothing industry, buyer power is a considerable force. In addition, Matthyse (2018) asserts that buyers in the SA clothing industry do not have much power; this is attributed to clothing manufacturers not supplying quality products at a lower price due to high labour costs. Therefore, buyers are switching to international garments that offer both quality and lower prices.

It was additionally asserted by Sithole et al. (2018) that firms could create value for customers and build a solid relationship to capture customer value. Thus, this can help SMEs to gain more popularity and more customers than big companies.
2.2.3 The contribution of SWOT analysis to SME competitiveness

According to Habimana, Mutambuka and Habinshuti (2018: 59), SWOT analysis is likely to contribute to increasing the competitiveness of an organization; understanding of firms' strengths, weaknesses, opportunities, and threats helps to make improvements and thus, improve the performance of an organization. A study by Jatmiko et al. (2021) showed that some other factors that contribute to an organisation's competitiveness can only be realised by conducting a SWOT analysis. Therefore, strengths and opportunities of the firm will be highlighted. Nonetheless, before developing strategies, it is essential to find both the internal and external capability of the industry or organisation. SWOT analysis has been recognised as the best tool in measuring internal and external factors.

Izni and Wandebori (2020) confirmed that a four-phased analysis, focused on strengths, weaknesses, opportunities, and threats (SWOT), is a valuable tool for understanding the current state of the organisation or industry, highlighting where improvements are required. Espinoza (2020) showed that organisations could use SWOT to find helpful factors that drive their success, while also determining harmful elements limiting their success, allowing results applicable to the planning purpose.

SWOT analysis works with four different types of environments, with strengths and weaknesses being the organisation's internal positive and negative factors. In contrast, opportunities and threats are the external positive and negative factors that affect the organization.

The difference between each of these factors is illustrated below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HELPFUL</th>
<th>HARMFUL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>INTERNAL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strengths</td>
<td>Weaknesses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EXTERNAL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opportunities</td>
<td>Threats</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 2.3: SWOT analysis**

*Source: Espinoza (2020)*
The analysis combines internal factors (strengths and weaknesses) with external factors (opportunities and threats).

According to Kanat et al. (2018), strengths refer to the internal activities business excels in to help achieve competitiveness. The main strength SA clothing manufacturers have, particularly small factories, is getting closer with their customers. Kanat et al. (2018) stated that weaknesses refer to internal factors that limit a company’s ability to achieve its competitiveness.

Izni and Wandebori (2020: 4) showed that the clothing industry is open to opportunities like online sales and marketing and future integration. The SA government (2021) reports opportunities in assisting SMEs to grow. These include SEDA organizations, which offer various supporting programmes for SMEs, including information and advice referrals, grants for SMEs, technical support, and business training.

According to Naidoo (2021), COVID-19 has shaken many SMEs very badly and forced many to shut down, mainly due to the uncertainty the pandemic poses; however, some have benefited from government support as part of relief measures for small businesses.

Nonetheless, Izni and Wandebori (2020: 4) asserted that the clothing industry has a high potential for newcomers and high competencies. This corroborates findings by Kanat et al. (2018: 6) that external threats affecting the SA clothing industry can include factors such as the effect of climate change on the industry’s resilience, with the focus impact of drought. While some SA studies stress the effects of increased load shedding and electricity cost that affect production, matters such as lack of finance, the economic crisis, and unemployment have also been investigated.

Dewa, Van Der Merwe and Matope (2020: 111) found that SMEs are more vulnerable to power cuts posed by load shedding. They lack the finance to rent or own backup generators or other alternative power-generating systems. However, while power cuts severely impact small firms during the load shedding schedule, it delays production and causes interruptions.

Clothing imports into SA have grown steadily since the birth of democracy in 1994. Some industries, such as manufacturing, see Chinese imports as a threat because they cannot compete with the low prices of these imported goods (Wood and Bischoff
2019). It was argued by Strauss, Isaacs and Capaldo (2017: 10) that the implementation of a national minimum wage had been a threat to many SA companies from different industries. This has resulted in many clothing manufacturing firms outsourcing their production to countries that offer cheap labour, such as Lesotho and Swaziland.

2.3 State of South African SMEs

The role of SMEs in SA continues to be regarded as essential, with SMEs said to contribute to economic growth, employment and approximately 35 percent to GDP and 60 percent to employment. Leboea (2017) determined that although SA SMEs are regarded as the key to boosting the country’s economy, these small enterprises also experience challenges, including a high rate of failure, with red tape and barriers to finance further hindering SME growth.

SA is a developing country where the unemployment rate is high, and poverty is also a challenge; therefore, most literature has shown the effort by SMEs trying to fight this challenge in recent years. According to Cant and Wiid (2013: 707), SMEs play a crucial role in the country’s economy, particularly in SA as a developing country, in contributing to employment and GDP. Further to this, Lekhanya and Dlamini (2017: 38) mentioned that "SMEs contribute significantly to the wealth, social stability, reduction of poverty and create employment". Nonetheless, some of these enterprises still struggle to operate in a competitive environment, more so since the pandemic.

Even though SMEs are exposed to macro-environmental and marketing variables, some are country or region-specific. For instance, Hodgkinson and Andresen (2020) discuss that crime is the leading macro-environmental variable affecting many SA SMEs. During national lockdown in the country, businesses from various industries encountered several break-ins and robberies, which means the cost of high security will move to an elevated level.

The coronavirus has been a threat internationally, with the SA government having to set out new regulations and rules, such as the lockdown of the country and every company producing non-essential goods or services having to cease operations to reduce the spread of the virus. This pandemic has caused massive and rapid losses affecting the country’s economy, including job losses and firm closures. Francis, Valodia and Ramburuth-hurt (2020) stated that the impact and damages caused by the
coronavirus and the resulting lockdown levels had left much uncertainty; SA has experienced a sharp decline in income while an extreme increase in unemployment and job losses are reported.

According to Martin (2020), before the COVID-19 pandemic, roughly 260 000 SMEs in SA each employed 50-200 employees in the formal sector alone. This was considered a record since 2004, prior to the pandemic and accompanying containment measures imposed by governments triggered economic hardship within the country, especially for smaller businesses. In the process, the suffering by small companies has been brought into sharp relief.

The shortage of personal protective equipment (PPE) has, as Mathe (2020) explained, created an opportunity for the clothing industry, especially SMEs, to manufacture face masks. However, most SMEs in the clothing manufacturing industry are unhappy about the way they were receiving orders through middle men (government tenders) with no experience with regard to the operation of the industry. As a result, clothing manufacturing companies, such as Africa Bespoke Apparel in Durban, had to undergo business rescue at the height of lockdown and limit their number of employees to survive.

With the coronavirus outbreak eventually disrupting the operation of businesses at a critical point, Naidoo (2021) found that SMEs are the most affected by the pandemic, and the sector can no longer be a buffer for the economy, as it was before the coronavirus outbreak. Although the government has decided to ease lockdown restrictions, it will take time for SMEs to recover to their fullest potential. Furthermore, University of KwaZulu Natal (UKZN 2020) reported that government must, therefore, deploy emergency measures, especially for SMEs, to help mitigate the impact of COVID-19 on SMEs.

2.4 Definition of Competitiveness

Competitiveness means being able to win or be the best in the market in comparison to others of the same kind. Blas (2018) added that it is the ability of competing more successfully than others, while Martinović (2018: 178) defined competitiveness as “the ability of a firm to produce a unique strategy that adds value to its success”. Prasada et al. (2020) and Bismala and Handayani (2017: 417) described competitiveness as
derived from value creation; it is a tool used to create the value of the business and a barrier for competitors.

A well-known concept across different nations, competitiveness studies involve different disciplines, such as competitive advantage, competitiveness perspective, strategy, as well as management perspective (Carvalho and Costa 2014: 89). According to Cann (2016), competitiveness is understood as the ability to increase productivity, market share, profitability, and growth, as well as value-added, more than competitors; it also refers to any company or business able to predict the future of the business and offer innovative products that suit market needs more than its competitors.

Feurer and Chaharbaghi (1994: 54) argued that competitiveness applies to a framework, which includes measuring customer value, shareholders value, the performance of competitors, and financial strength, along with cash flow and its ability to raise capital without depending much on government funding. Nevertheless, new literature by Sánchez et al. (2019: 619) and Prasada et al. (2020) agreed that customer value creation helps achieve the business's competitiveness. The authors further acknowledged that practicing solid communication with customers and innovating marketing strategies can help gain competitiveness by applying available resources accordingly.

The agreement was indicated by Sariannidis et al. (2019) that being innovative and value creation contribute much to firm competitiveness; however, it is challenging to apply all these factors to SMEs. Lack of finance, however, remains the main reason hindering SMEs from achieving competitiveness.

2.4.1 Determinants of Competitiveness

According to Mastamet-Mason and Mbatha (2018: 144), the “determinants of competitiveness are Porter’s factor conditions, which refer to inputs necessary for production to occur and conducive for a company to gain competitive advantage”. As explained by Ergashxodjaeva et al. (2018), the enterprise's competitive advantage mainly depends on the competitive environment created in the enterprise. Guan et al. (2019) assert that a variety of means exist with which an enterprise can determine a competitive environment.
Khan and Shah (2017: 18) concluded that "key determinants of the competitiveness of the textile sector of Pakistan had been extracted. These include cost of capital, financial markets, Training facilities, work attitude, learning organization, Low cost of local inputs, low cost of imported inputs, easy access to information, government role, and support in enhancing the demand", which are found to play the most significant role in enhancing industry competitiveness. Some fundamental driving forces are described by Ergashxodjaeva et al. (2018) as promoting the competitiveness of an enterprise, namely production and demand factors, public services and infrastructure, as well as geographic proximity and vertical integration:

### 2.4.1.1 Production factors

The results from a study by Guan et al. (2019: 7) implied the necessity for the Chinese textile and clothing industry to increase investment in fixed assets, speed up the pace of upgrading equipment, improve the level of industrialization while strengthening the supply of raw materials through the lowering of raw material prices, thereby reducing costs for textile and clothing enterprises. Guan et al. (2019: 7) added that factors of production are essential for the enterprise's competitiveness as the more adequate production factors are, the lower the cost factor and the lower production costs, therefore, improved competitiveness of the enterprise. Ahmedova (2015) defined and analysed critical factors for enhancing SME competitiveness.

Mastamet-Mason and Mbatha (2018: 146) asserted that production factors that can help enhance an enterprise to achieve its competitiveness are those at the core of the manufacturing process, further stating that in the apparel manufacturing industry, these factors can be identified as skilled labour, capital, land, and fabric, in addition to machinery. Getahun et al. (2018) revealed that the advantage held by the Ethiopian manufacturing industry lies in the abundant and inexpensive workforce, natural resources, closeness to global markets, and growing domestic demand for manufacturers, which have helped in boosting the competitiveness of its manufacturing sector.

### 2.4.1.2 Demand Factor

Demand is the primary factor that promotes the development of the clothing manufacturing industry. Guan et al. (2019: 8) found that companies have benefited from this factor and have an advantage in the high level of demand. A study by Matrutty,
Franksisca and Damayanti (2018) shows that improvement in living standards is considered a contributing factor in accelerating demand. Some scholars have shown that a high level of domestic demand and the capability to produce high-quality products also contribute to innovations and technological developments (Ahmedova 2015; Genc, Dayan and Genc 2019).

2.4.1.3 Public services and Infrastructure
Mastamet-Mason and Mbatha (2018) highlighted that SA has quality infrastructure because there is well-oiled transportation, water, and electronic systems that support the economy. However, some studies reveal that the country is not efficient due to poor infrastructure maintenance and show that SA suffers greatly where developed infrastructure is concerned, particularly in information and communication technology (ICT) (Ondiege, Moyo and Verdier-Chouchane 2013; Mbanda and Chitiga-Mabugu 2017; Kubickova 2019). It was confirmed by Rathnayake et al. (2020) that infrastructure is the primary factor that indirectly affects the supply chain process. Wood and Bischoff (2019) find that the SA clothing industry has been influenced by industrialisation and globalisation, highlighting the need for investments in infrastructure development and process standards.

2.4.1.4 Geographical Proximity and Vertical Integration
Rathnayake et al. (2020: 5) established that “managing vertical integration and own backward supply chain processes can improve cost saving and thus increase the level of competitiveness”. This echoes findings by Ergashxodjaeva et al. (2018: 2) that an enterprise applying this strategy can benefit from various factors, which can help achieve competitiveness; these factors include “the level of comfortability of an enterprise's geographical location, ease of interaction with suppliers, production chain with full-cycle integration, access to market information, and a strong relationship between vendors, suppliers, and raw material processors”.

Kapetaniou and Lee (2019) and Micek (2019) assert that geographical location has a positive effect on innovations because firms are exposed to international markets and can access international resources, as well as new and advanced knowledge, which may not be available locally.
2.5 Factors Contributing to Competitiveness

2.5.1 Labour Cost
Although labour cost is one of the significant variables influencing the competitiveness of the clothing manufacturing industry, Taylor et al. (2021) further deliberated that labour costs impact the sector's performance in many ways, such as lack of exports, as experienced by the South African clothing manufacturing industry. Findings by Pasquali, Godfrey and Nadvi (2020) proved that due to high labour costs in the country, some SA clothing manufacturers moved their production plants to lower labour cost countries, such as Lesotho and Swaziland. Shubbak (2019: 1000) found that large industrial sectors with a large workforce can easily take advantage of mass production, thus minimising labour costs. Gelb et al. (2017) state that it must have a competitive labour cost for the industry to succeed and grow; however, Rahman and Kabir (2019) maintain that cheap labour is not the only factor in gaining competitiveness, with industrial location, transportation, and ease of integration other contributing factors.

2.5.2 Firm Size
Bibi et al. (2020) investigated the relationship between firm size and innovation, with the results indicating that firm size and creation positively influence a firm's competitiveness. In addition, Führer and Michel (2004: 251) maintained that the size of a firm could be used as the key determinant of cooperative competitiveness. However, several scholars argue about the experience and size of the firm and its contribution to competitiveness (Gelb et al. 2017; Joffe, Mailer and Webster 2019).

2.5.3 Foreign Investment
Aritenang (2021: 10) finds that foreign investment is essential for the competitiveness of firms, with the study also revealing those sectors such as textile and clothing industries that are labour intensive for production, are experiencing lower competitiveness compared to technology-intensive industries, such as the automotive and electronic industries. Interestingly, as described by Inotai (2019), technology-intensive industries have also benefitted from a higher share of foreign investments, thus confirming the importance of global networks and industrial concentration.

Sibiya and Kele (2019: 14) confirm the importance of international market expansion by SMEs and stress some challenges in accessing foreign markets, including bureaucratic red tape that hinders SMEs to access foreign markets. Furthermore,
Leboea (2017) proposed that SMEs need to consider foreign investment and locate their plants where there is high government capital expenditure, in more populated areas, and in a population with a higher level of education, as this would produce higher competitiveness.

2.5.4 Working Conditions
The International, Labour and Organisations (2017: 1-2) stated that worker management cooperation and better management systems could result in better working conditions and thus increase productivity and competitive advantage. However, research by Mmelesi, Daw and Mongale (2019) shows that most clothing factories in SA (KZN) are failing to build better working conditions. Moreover, informal recruitment, lower wages, longer working hours, and unsafe working conditions remain as obstacles to achieving better working conditions (Islam and Islam 2018; Khan et al. 2020).

2.5.5 Human Capital
Roopchund (2017: 2) defined human capital as “an experience gathered by an employee from on-the-job training, academic achievements, skills, and knowledge”. According to Dar and Mishra (2019: 4), the "role of globalization in the success and growth of SMEs is due to human capital in any organization, the knowledge, and skills of employees are counted among valuable resources," and the lack of any of these personnel skills result in a firm's "internal resource barrier to export."

The coronavirus pandemic has had a profound impact on the labour force, with Duffy (2020) stating that high-tech companies, such as Amazon and Google, have adopted a remote working strategy since the pandemic continues to spread; besides. Kalidas, Magwentshu and Rajagopaul (2020) found many businesses in SA have considered the use of remote working. However, the question does arise as to what small business owners are to do who cannot afford the implementation of remote working, as this has resulted in job losses, business closures, and a sharp rise in unemployment in both the private and public sectors.

On the one hand, Huggins, Prokop and Thompson (2017: 370) view human capital as “the most potential factor for firm survival, highlighting that human capital cannot be achieved without either good motivation or a suitable location firm”. Muda and Rahman (2016), on the other hand, discussed human capital as a firm's resource and a crucial
element in transforming information to practical knowledge that will enhance the standard and growth of the firm. The authors also stressed the importance of human capital to the business world and some challenges most SMEs face; they cannot afford to invest in human capital for their enterprises because of insufficient finance. Therefore, they cannot afford to pay highly qualified and skilled persons (Muda and Rahman 2016). The study further found human capital to be great contributor to SME performance and growth.

2.5.6 information technology and innovations

Susanto and Wasito (2017: 283; 284) reviewed that the “application of technological innovations is essential to ensure continuous improvement”, hence, to achieve competitiveness.

According to Lekhanya (2014: 2719), technology has a significant impact on enhancing firm competitiveness. Furthermore, the author stresses the importance of internationalisation and the “exchange of knowledge using ICT as a contributing factor in increasing the long-term sustainability of SME competitiveness” (Lekhanya 2014: 2719). As explained by Akram and Jamal (2018: 511), the use of IT has contributed much to the competitiveness of SMEs, “influencing the attracting of potential customers and vendors online, while an enterprise must also differentiate itself in terms of costing and the offer of quality products and services to gain competitiveness”.

Smales (2020) showed that modern technologies enable SMEs to achieve global markets at a much lower cost, while the use of e-commerce has helped clothing retailers with rapid growth of sales, competitive advantage and reducing marketing costs. Susanto and Wasito (2017: 282) argued that SMEs could improve performance and enhance competitiveness through innovation strategies.

The OECD (2019: 108) presented data on SME attitudes towards e-commerce, showing it has been “a significant driver in changing physical stores into online stores, with specific reference to using ICT to do online transactions. Having reliable internet connectivity is thus crucial to competitiveness and the daily business of SMEs in remaining competitive”. Warner (2019) and Van Niekerk (2020) concur that some situations are beyond the control of SMEs, such as load shedding, due to most of these enterprises not having the infrastructure to cope with rolling blackouts and poor internet
connectivity; these result in the loss of productivity and profits, as well as damage to electronic devices.

To achieve a competitive advantage, SMEs have engaged in e-commerce (Hamad, Elbeltagi and El-Gohary 2018: 214), which has become known in the business environment and SMEs are aware of its advantages to boost sales and increase competitiveness. However, the lack of individuals with skills to run an online market is extremely high within SMEs as some are unable to afford experts, while others who try to become involved in e-commerce often do not have enough ICT capacity, therefore, cannot host complex sites on their own.

SMEs in SA have a tendency of being businesses with a limited productivity rate and because of this, SMEs tend to use primitive technologies, resulting in these small enterprises being uncompetitive in relation to larger firms. According to Kitsios and Kamariotou (2018: 59), SMEs can neither align business strategies with IT strategies nor maximise their machinery utility; in addition, these businesses are severely limited with regard to improving technology. Not only are SMEs in SA not innovative with their technology due to financial restraints, but these business owners also have limited knowledge with reference to technological developments.

Leboea (2017) stated that SME owners and managers currently do not have the correct knowledge and ability to choose the right technology for their business needs. While Meijer et al (2019: 118-119) found that technological innovation in the sustainability landscape is quite complex, consequently, it is a major barrier in commercialising technology. Furthermore, technological complexity requires SMEs to hire highly skilled engineers. Yet, SME owners themselves need to have a profound understanding of the technology, to be able to convince lead customers and other stakeholders of its commercial value.

2.5.7 Access to Finance and credit
SMEs strongly depend on finance to grow, restructure, and innovate, with finance still seen as the key to any business becoming successful. However, while many SMEs face a huge financing gap, possibly as a result of not having enough knowledge about loans application, some SMEs do not have bank accounts, with others using personal bank accounts for business, resulting in the mismanagement of cash flow (Udell 2015). Nonetheless, Dladla (2016: 23) found SA banks and other funding agencies to be
hesitant to fund or supply resources to small businesses such as SMEs, as they often require the assurances that come with a longer trading history. Frequently, this increases the difficulty for SMEs to access finance from these funding agencies.

Beck and Demirguc-Kunt (2006: 2932) found that the terms and conditions posed for SMEs by funding agencies involve much red tape and “business opportunities can often be lost by the time a loan is eventually approved”. Furthermore, some evidence suggests that “access to finance plays a particularly key role in a business environment, potentially developing the growth of the firm”. A recent study by Kariuki (2020) additionally showed that SMEs in the clothing industry require funding from government and other micro institutions. However, access requirements are a major challenge that hinder these small businesses in gaining access to funding, with high interest rates the biggest challenge; while other obstacles include loan securities and credit constraints.

2.5.8 Internationalization

Internationalization has become a powerful tool for SME survival and competitiveness; however, some internal and external barriers stop SMEs from internationalization. Examples of internal barriers comprise financial issues, lack of foreign exposure, and limited technical aspects, and external barriers include, for instance, policies and regulations (García-Álvarez de Perea, Ramírez-García and Cubo-Molina 2019).

Mchunu (2019: iv) on the one hand established that SME leaders need to keep a strong management system and leadership style that creates a competitive advantage for the survival and long-term growth of the businesses. On the other hand, Bianchi, Glavas and Mathews (2017: 179) showed that SMEs from Latin America started to engage in new developments such as internationalisation and modern technologies and have experienced significant growth in their businesses. This has set a good example for SA SMEs regarding the importance of adapting to new developments.

The textiles and clothing industry acts as a crucial element in the economies of many developing countries due to its characteristics, such as low start-up costs, being labour intensive, generating a high amount of employment and an opportunity for export expansion (Venkatesh, Dubey and Bhattacharya 2015). However, the opportunity for export expansion may have some barriers for SMEs to enter foreign markets, which can include lack of capital resources, as well as skilled and experienced individuals.
The majority of studies, specifically current research, have shown interest in SME internationalization, with the growing relationship between productivity, SME competitiveness and the presence of global markets being stressed (Venkatesh, Dubey and Bhattacharya 2015; Mchunu 2019). Nonetheless, Bianchi et al (2017: 180) emphasised that although researchers are showing more interest in internationalization, most SMEs are found to still be lagging in competitiveness, adding that quick adoption of internationalization by SMEs varies, being dependent on the country and industry’s competitiveness.

Costa, Soares and de Sousa (2020: 4) confirmed potential growth of firms from SME internationalization; however, with SMEs experiencing challenges where the lack of resources are concerned, they find it more difficult than larger firms to compete in foreign markets, therefore, any attempt to trade internationally becomes a challenge. According to Bianchi et al. (2017: 179), internationalization relies on a firm’s capacity to adapt to new developments, such as new technological innovations.

2.6 Competitiveness of SMEs in the South African Clothing Manufacturing Industry

It is asserted by Mohan (2018) that competitiveness could be achieved by deciding the firm’s resources, also known as a resource-based view, so it can be noted whether the firm has potential resources to achieve competitiveness. Therefore, the ability of the firm to build competitiveness also depends on its resources and capabilities. However, due to a lack of financial resources, the shortage of industrial machines has negatively influenced the competitiveness of SMEs in the clothing manufacturing industry. Shen (2017) stated that most SMEs are not ready for emerging technologies, such as automation, due to the lack of finance and most believing it to be cheaper to hire foreign workers instead of implementing these technologies.

As reported by Kaine, Payne and Coneybeer (2020), the SA clothing industry has experienced a rapid decline in sales due to the ongoing pandemic and lockdown restrictions, as lockdown regulations have restricted factories through applying physical distance limits while working, resulting in SMEs having to minimise employee numbers in the factory. This industry used to have a massive number of employees working in factories, nonetheless, due to lockdown restrictions such as physical distancing being required in factories and offices, big companies can still maintain the
same amount of production by investing in an automated workforce, however, SMEs cannot afford these machines.

According to Ensor (2019), the DTI 2019 report exhibited a high regard for the industry because of its capacity to create employment and its contribution in growing the economy of SA. Whereas Hailemariam (2018: 2) found systems followed by clothing industries, in terms of managerial skills, accessibility to raw materials, and ability to produce on time and quality products in line with customer requirements, are at a minimal level.

2.7 Sustaining competitiveness through the resource-based view

Small businesses experience lack of finances as well as inadequate technologies that can boost them in achieving competitiveness. Scholars have identified that limited capital leads to the inability of firms to acquire competitive resources, affecting capability to produce competitive products, with the resultant inability to achieve a competitive advantage, therefore, an ability to acquire financial resources could improve the ability to increase its competitiveness (Pulka, Ramli and Bakar 2018; Izni and Wandebori 2020).

Resources may refer to inputs that enable firms to carry out its activities. Firms therefore need to analyze their internal resources, while competing externally with other organisations, in addition to which not all resources can help to achieve competitiveness, only those that add value to customers. Izni and Wandebori (2020: 2) find that “companies should update recent resources to create unique products, these include both tangible and intangible resources such as machinery, emerging technologies, skilled personnel, knowledge, brand names, technologies, and marketing expertise”. The authors further argue that the lack of human resources would negatively impact the final product quality.

Donnellan and Rutledge (2019) stated that these resources may assist firms to implement better strategies to gain competitiveness and grow the firm, while they further determined that firm resources must be sustained and managed effectively by putting some inventory management systems in place, for both tangible and intangible resources. Silva, Gohr and Santos (2019) discussed that small companies do not yet have sufficient organisational resources for proper implementation, such as pulled production, features considered most important for bulk productions such as lean
implementation, operational workforce, and qualified managers, as well as heavy machinery.

2.8 Porter's Generic Strategies

According to Hales and Mclarney (2017: 7), generic strategy is the tool established by Porter to manage competitive advantage of the firm. Islami et al. (2020) discussed how businesses should apply Porter's generic strategies to outperform their competitors. The authors further argued for the use of Porter's generic strategies in the belief that when business owners focus on improving competitive advantage, they should adapt a major stream of generic strategies. This echoes findings by Borocki et al. (2019: 265), who highlighted the harshness faced by SMEs, in terms of the limited time they have for the decision-making process, therefore, SMEs should select the most appropriate strategy.

Ouma and Oloko (2017) established a relationship between generic strategies and competitive advantage, while Njuguna (2015) examined the effect of generic strategies on competitive advantage among SMEs, finding that generic strategies are the determinants of competitive advantage. Njuguna (2015) also recognised that SMEs find it difficult to attain competitive advantage.

![Porter's Generic Strategy Model](image)

**Figure 2.4: Porter's Generic Strategy Model**

*Source: Adapted from Russel McGuire (2020)*

Muigai (2018: 9) argues that cost leadership is a pivotal strategy that should be used by any organisation, including SMEs, to gain competitive advantage and lowering their
costs compared to other competitors within the same industry and market. Mita, Ochie'ng and Mwebi (2017) found that once businesses have adopted a cost leadership strategy, they are subjected to lowering the entire cost of production, while increasing the number of assemblies or fabrications and lowering the cost of distribution channels. Therefore, should a business adopt a cost leadership strategy, it should be prepared to compromise its profitability.

According to Mita et al. (2017) and (Al-Hyari 2020), SMEs could reduce costs and improve their competitive advantage; hence, these include increasing the number of fabrications or assemblies, SMEs could implement these changes more quickly and effectively than big enterprises because of their flexibility in changing the way of doing. Al-Hyari (2020) added that mass production is a tool to increase competition in the manufacturing industry, although this strategy may increase SME competitiveness. In addition, some SMEs may struggle to undertake mass production since it may demand bulk raw materials and ongoing operational expenses, with SMEs hampered by a lack of industrial machines to produce mass, insufficient finances, and a lack of management and leadership skills.

Mita et al. (2017) asserted that companies that invest in a differentiation strategy should be able to provide unique products or services, after-sales service, and effectively use high technology support to deliver innovations and segmentation. According to Muigai (2018: 16), the purpose of differentiation is “to gain competitive advantage by providing unique services or products that add value to consumers, thus providing products and services that are different from those of competitors”. Muigai (2018: 17) also determined that “product uniqueness helped many firms to achieve their competitiveness; other than cost leadership, it also provides value to the entire organisation”.

Focus strategy, as explained by Ouma and Oloko (2017), is a mixture of both cost leadership and differentiation strategies. Islami et al. (2020) additionally defined focus strategy as the strategy of concentrating on a specific target market, while Muigai (2018: 23) further stated that achieving focus strategy can be done in two different ways; by lowering costs, targeting the niche market or by both.
2.9 Conclusion

The information gathered from the literature concerning factors influencing the competitiveness of SMEs that were set out in this chapter will be interpreted in the fourth chapter to suggest and make recommendations in the fifth chapter about factors that could be employed to improve the competitiveness of SMEs within the clothing industry.

Based on the literature reviewed, SA SMEs in the clothing manufacturing industry are failing to cope with some factors contributing to competitiveness, therefore, that needs to be addressed so that SMEs and decision makers are aware of them.
CHAPTER 3
RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

3.1 Introduction
In the previous chapter, the literature review was presented, which was a fundamental source of information to complete a conceptual framework of the areas of research.

The literature review discussed the stated theory relating to objectives. However, this study aimed to determine factors influencing the competitiveness of small and medium clothing manufacturing enterprises in the eThekwini municipal district in KZN. Therefore, the aim of this chapter is to explain how data were collected and analysed, along with the sampling methods and study population used. Quantitative data gathering and analysis was used to conduct the study.

3.2 Research Design and Methodology
Research design and methodology can be described as the specific procedures or systematic plan which focuses on the research process. This is achieved through the “specific way research data are selected, to be collected, analyzed, and interpreted by the researcher” (Almalki 2016: 290). Based on the market size for this study, the research design and methodology approach used was quantitative, which emphasises objective measurements and the statistical, mathematical, or numerical analysis of data collected through a questionnaire (Queirós, Faria and Almeida 2017).

Therefore, for this study, data were analyzed using SPSS version 27 for Windows, producing graphs, and charts to illustrate the results.

3.3 Research Objectives
The aim of this study is to determine factors influencing the competitiveness of small and medium clothing manufacturing enterprises in the eThekwini municipal district in KZN.

The following objectives were identified as appropriate for this study and hence, they served as the basis for the chosen methodology.

- To identify factors contributing to the competitiveness of SMEs in the clothing manufacturing industry at the eThekwini municipal district in KZN.
• To investigate how factors of competitiveness influence SMEs in the clothing manufacturing industry at the eThekwini municipal district in KZN.
• To assist the SMEs of the eThekwini municipal district in KZN within the clothing industry with improving the understanding of factors affecting their competitiveness.
• To suggest and recommend relevant measures that could be employed to improve the competitiveness of SMEs in the clothing manufacturing industry at the eThekwini municipal district in KZN.

3.4 Development of Questionnaire

The questionnaire was developed from the research objectives and literature reviewed. Questions were structured as closed-ended because it was anticipated it would be easy for respondents to complete, as well as for the researcher to compare responses from different respondents (Dossetto 2020).

3.4.1 Content of the questionnaire

The questionnaire addressed factors influencing the competitiveness of small and medium clothing manufacturing enterprises in the eThekwini municipal district in KZN; these may include PESTEL factors.

The final questionnaire was distributed to 80 respondents in the selected areas of the eThekwini District Municipality, in the province of KZN. Participants were encouraged to take part voluntarily. However, COVID-19 regulations brought many challenges; such as relying on email and telephonic communication between researcher and participants, with the researcher experiencing ignorance and delays of feedback from participants.

3.4.2 Pilot Test

According to Wright (2018), pilot testing is used to assess the accuracy of the research approach with a small number of participants, before it can be given or distributed to the target population. To reduce risk and refine the questionnaire, pilot testing was done with 10 randomly selected SME owners/ managers who did not participate in the main study, and results obtained from the pilot testing were not included in the main study.
3.5 Study Location

Figure 3.1: Map of eThekwini Municipality District of KZN
Source: Adapted from Colleen Edith Archer et al. 2018.

Map of eThekwini Municipality district of KZN showing areas where participants were located.

Participants in the study were selected as they are members of the National Bargaining Council for the clothing manufacturing industry in the eThekwini District Municipality, located in the SA province of KZN. These enterprises operate their clothing manufacturing SMEs south of Durban, north of Durban and Durban central, while some indicated the area where they are located as ‘other’. These areas of operations were determined by respondents’ business location.

3.6 Target Population

Target population refers to a group of people or objects the researcher requires to generalize the results of the research (Mugo, Kahuthia and Kinyua 2019: 141). The National Bargaining Council for the clothing manufacturing industry (2021) is comprised of 290 members of small and medium clothing manufacturers in South Africa. As of May 2021, there are 120 council members in KZN and 80 members in the eThekwini District Municipality. Therefore, the target population for this study was
clothing manufacturers that are members of the National Bargaining Council for the clothing manufacturing industry in the eThekwini District Municipality, with the questionnaire sent to these 80 owners and managers.

3.6.1 Inclusion Criteria
Patino and Ferreira (2018) explain that inclusion criteria refer to the target population the researcher is willing to investigate and the group that will participate in the study. Therefore, the study was confined only to SMEs in the clothing manufacturing industry of the eThekwini District Municipality in KZN that are members of the National Bargaining Council for the clothing manufacturing industry in the eThekwini District Municipality, due to time constraints and costs.

3.6.2 Exclusion Criteria
As stated by Patino and Ferreira (2018), exclusion criteria refer to the target population of potential study participants who meet all the requirements to participate in the study but have additional characteristics that could interfere with the success of the study. The National Bargaining Council for the clothing manufacturing industry (2021) consists of 290 members. Due to geographical factors, costs, and time, this study could not investigate all 290 members. Furthermore, while the clothing manufacturing SMEs examined were situated in the eThekwini District Municipality, these enterprises indicated that their operations were located in specific areas on the north coast, south coast, CBD and ‘other’.

3.7 Sample Size
Sewraj (2020) affirmed that sampling size refers to the number of sample units selected for which data will be collected; too small a sample yields unreliable results, while a large sample demands more resources. Therefore, to gather reliable results, the sample size for this study was 80, namely SMEs that are members of the National Bargaining Council for the clothing manufacturing industry in the eThekwini District Municipality.

3.8 Sampling Procedure
Two types of sampling methods are outlined by Elfil and Negida (2017) namely, probability, and non-probability methods. In probability sampling all individuals have a chance of being chosen for the sample, while with non-probability sampling there is no guarantee who is going to be chosen. Furthermore, non-probability methods allow the
researcher to select samples based on the subjective judgment of the researcher, rather than random selection. In addition, while non-probability sampling tends to be cheaper and more convenient, it also relies heavily on researcher expertise. For this study, non-probability sampling was used for its convenience.

3.9 Measurement Instrument
According to Yaya (2014), the term measurement instrument refers to the method through which data will be obtained from respondents. The instrument used in this study to collect data from participants was a self-completed, closed-ended, 5-point Likert scale questionnaire, with a response range from 1-5, with 1= strongly agree, 2=agree, 3=neutral, 4=disagree, or 5=strongly disagree. All questions were developed from the literature review and objectives of the study.

3.10 Data Collection Method
Moises Jr (2020: 80) defined data collection as the action of gathering data using variables of interest in a way that participants can answer questions. Furthermore, Dossetto (2020) stated that a closed-ended questionnaire is easier and quicker to answer, while it is also extremely easy to compare responses from different respondents. Therefore, a closed-ended questionnaire was used in this study to collect information from participants, even though it limited participant responses.

Due to lockdown restrictions posed by COVID-19 (coronavirus), which resulted in some participants restricting visitors, arrangements were made with those participants who do not allow visitors on their premises to complete the questionnaire online, therefore, a link for the questionnaire was sent through participants’ emails.

3.11 Data Analysis
All data obtained from the closed-ended questionnaire were analysed using SPSS version 27 for Windows, a package designed for analysing statistical data using graphs and charts.

3.12 Validity and Reliability
According to Middleton (2019), validity and reliability are used to measure the quality of research. Validity can only be used to measure the consistency of the research, while reliability can be used to measure the accuracy of the research. Therefore, to ensure both the validity and reliability of this study, the researcher measured the results obtained from the pilot testing. A study by Surucu and Maslakci (2020) revealed that
although these concepts are closely related, they express different properties of the measurement instrument, further stressing that measuring instruments must meet these two concepts in order to interpret quality research findings.

The validity of the study was ensured by carefully examining questionnaire responses to ascertain whether they in fact represent the research expectations through closed-ended questions. The questionnaire was pre-tested using a pilot study to establish the credibility and validity of the findings. Reliability of the study was ensured by distributing questionnaires to all clothing manufacturers registered with the National Bargaining Council for the clothing manufacturing industry in the eThekwini District Municipality. The instructions on the questionnaire were the same and consistent throughout for all participants.

3.13 Anonymity and Confidentiality

Njogu (2019) highlighted that confidentiality is the act of keeping respondents’ personal information secret from the public, whereas anonymity is when respondents remain anonymous throughout the entire study. The researcher ensured not to disclose identifiable information about participants and protected their identity by neither publishing their names, nor revealing personal details when compiling the report. Confidentiality and anonymity were clarified in the letter of information; therefore, participants had a right to participate or withdraw from the study.

3.14 Ethical Consideration

Obtaining consent from all participants was the researchers’ responsibility, as well as protecting them from harm and maintaining confidentiality. This view is supported by Akaranga and Makau (2016), who state that ethical consideration is important in the research journey and requires that researchers should protect the dignity of their participants and publish information as agreed. Therefore, in this study, ethical clearance was obtained from the University Ethics Committee prior to the study being conducted. The researcher also assured respondents that information provided for this study would solely be used for study purposes, with participants informed that they could withdraw from the study at any time.

Completed and returned questionnaires will be stored in safe storage for five years and thereafter shredded, while electronic records will be kept on the researcher’s cloud software system for five years and thereafter deleted.
3.15 Study Limitations
This study did not cover all clothing manufacturing SMEs in all district municipalities in KZN and other provinces of SA and was limited to only one district municipality. Therefore, the results of the study cannot be generalised to all SA clothing manufacturing SMEs. Due to the size of South African geographic profiles, it was difficult to research every clothing manufacturing SME in every province; therefore, further research needs to include a large sample of other SMEs in the clothing manufacturing industry of other provinces.

3.16 Conclusion
This chapter presented the research methodology undertaken by the researcher for this study. Based on the market size for this study, a quantitative research approach was selected because of its ability to ensure reliable results. The following chapter will present and interpret data collected from the participants of the study.
CHAPTER 4
DATA ANALYSIS, PRESENTATION AND DISCUSSION

4.1 Introduction
In this chapter, analysis of research outcomes are presented to examine the overall results of the study. The purpose of this chapter is to present and interpret data extracted from questionnaires administered to owners and managers from SMEs in the clothing manufacturing industry. Analysis of this chapter entails the use of graphs, tables, and descriptive statistics.

4.2 Section A: Presentation of Results (Business Activities)
This section presents tables and graphs to illustrate the area from which respondent clothing manufacturing SMEs operate; their type of business; number of employees; and number of years in business, as well as who they consider as main competitor.

Table 4.1: Area of respondents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Valid</th>
<th>South Coast of Durban</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumulative Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Durban Central</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>41.3</td>
<td>41.3</td>
<td>65.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>North Coast of Durban</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>83.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Other</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>16.3</td>
<td>16.3</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>80</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 4.1: Area of respondents

The areas where respondents operate are shown in Table 4.1 and Figure 4.1, which indicate that most (41.3 percent) respondents were from Durban central, with 23.8 percent of respondents located on the South Coast of Durban, 18.8 percent of respondents were from the North Coast of Durban, followed by other areas with 16.3 percent of respondents.

Table 4.2: Type of business

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumulative Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Valid CMT (Cut, Make, Trim)</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>57.5</td>
<td>57.5</td>
<td>57.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FPP (Full Package Production)</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>26.3</td>
<td>26.3</td>
<td>83.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Design House</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>16.3</td>
<td>16.3</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 4.2: Type of business

Table 4.2 and Figure 4.2 show that most SMEs in the clothing manufacturing industry are from Cut, Make, Trim (CMT) (57.5 percent), and Full package production (FPP) (26.3 percent), followed by Design House at 16.3 percent.

Table 4.3: Number of employees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid</th>
<th>Cumulative</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Percent</td>
<td>Percent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valid</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-50</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>52.5</td>
<td>52.5</td>
<td>52.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51-150</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>36.3</td>
<td>36.3</td>
<td>88.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>151-250</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 4.3: Number of employees

Table 4.3 and Figure 4.3 illustrate that the sample mostly consisted of SMEs with 1-50 employees (52.5 percent), followed by 36.3 percent with 51-150 employees and 11.3 percent with 151-250 employees.

Table 4.4: Number of years in business

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Valid</th>
<th>Less than one year</th>
<th>1-2 years</th>
<th>3-5 years</th>
<th>6-9 years</th>
<th>More than ten years</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Frequency</td>
<td>Percent</td>
<td>Valid</td>
<td>Percent</td>
<td>Cumulative</td>
<td>Percent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valid year</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-2 years</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-5 years</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-9 years</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>37.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than ten years</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>62.5</td>
<td>62.5</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 4.4: Number of years in business

Table 4.4 and Figure 4.4 reflect that the majority of respondent SMEs have been in business for more than 10 years (62.5 percent), followed by 18.8 percent with 3-5 years, while 8.8 percent have been in business between 1-2 years, 7.5 percent have been operational for between 6-9 years and 2.5 percent have just less than one year in business.

Table 4.5: Competitors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VALID</th>
<th>FREQUENCY</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumulative Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VALID</td>
<td>LOCAL COMPANIES</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>86.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FOREIGN COMPANIES</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BOTH</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>11.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 4.5: Competitors

Table 4.5 and Figure 4.5 show that the majority (86.3 percent) of SME respondents saw local competitors as their main rivals, followed by 11.3 percent who indicated both local and foreign competitors and 2.5 percent indicated only foreign competitors.

4.3 Section B: Analysis

In this section, questionnaire statements are analysed, with results tabled and graphically illustrated.

Table 4.6: Intense pressure of competitiveness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumulative Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Valid</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutral</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>53.8</td>
<td>53.8</td>
<td>56.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strongly Agree</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>43.8</td>
<td>43.8</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4.6 and Figure 4.6 indicate the responses from owners and managers of SMEs in the KZN clothing manufacturing industry, as to whether they experience intense pressure of competitiveness among industry role players, most respondents agreed with the statement, with 53.8 percent that agreed and 43.8 percent that strongly agreed, while 1.3 percent were neutral and 1.3 percent disagreed with the statement.

**Table 4.7: Lower production costs**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumulative Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Valid</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutral</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>13.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>46.3</td>
<td>46.3</td>
<td>60.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strongly Agree</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 4.7: Lower production costs

Table 4.7 and Figure 4.7 illustrate responses of participants whether customers demand lower production costs when placing their orders. Most (46.3 percent) respondents agreed with the statement, 40 percent strongly agreed, while 11.3 were neutral and 2.5 percent of the clothing manufacturing SME respondents disagreed.

Table 4.8: Lack of knowledge

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Valid</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Cumulative Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Neutral</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>51.2</td>
<td>51.2</td>
<td>71.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Strongly Agree</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>28.7</td>
<td>28.7</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>80</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 4.8: Lack of knowledge

Table 4.8 and Figure 4.8 illustrate respondent views regarding there being a lack of knowledge and understanding concerning factors contributing to the competitiveness of SMEs in the clothing manufacturing industry. The majority (51.2 percent) of respondents agreed and 28.7 percent strongly agreed, while 13.8 percent disagreed, and 6.3 percent were neutral.

Table 4.9: Access to market

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumulative Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Valid</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>16.3</td>
<td>16.3</td>
<td>16.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutral</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>27.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>67.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strongly Agree</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>32.5</td>
<td>32.5</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 4.9: Access to market

Table 4.9 and Figure 4.9 illustrate respondent views with regard to market access being a challenge for many clothing manufacturing SMEs in the eThekwini district municipality of KZN. Most (40 percent) of the respondents agreed and 32.5 percent strongly agreed, while 16.3 percent disagreed and 11.3 percent were neutral.

Table 4.10: SMEs find the industry extremely competitive

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumulative Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Valid</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutral</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>6.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>56.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strongly Agree</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>43.8</td>
<td>43.8</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>80</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 4.10: SMEs find the industry extremely competitive

Table 4.10 and Figure 4.10 show the responses from clothing manufacturing SMEs whether they find the industry extremely competitive. Half (50 percent) of the respondents agreed and 43.8 percent strongly agreed, while five percent disagreed and 1.3 remained neutral.

Table 4.11: SMEs need industrial machines to stay competitive

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumulative Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Valid</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>6.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutral</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>17.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>42.5</td>
<td>42.5</td>
<td>60.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strongly Agree</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 4.11: SMEs need industrial machines to stay competitive

Table 4.11 and Figure 4.11 indicate respondent views whether factories in the eThekwini District Municipality of KZN in the clothing manufacturing industry need more industrial machines to stay competitive. Agreement was indicated by the majority (42.5 percent) of respondents and 40 percent strongly agreed, while 11.3 percent of the respondents indicated neutral and 6.3 percent disagreed.

Table 4.12: Lack of competitiveness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumulative Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Valid</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>8.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutral</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>23.8</td>
<td>23.8</td>
<td>32.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>55.0</td>
<td>55.0</td>
<td>87.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strongly Agree</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4.12 and Figure 4.12 illustrate responses whether a lack of competitiveness has limited the growth of SMEs in the KZN clothing manufacturing industry. Most (55 percent) respondents agreed, with 23.8 percent that remained neutral and 12.5 percent that strongly agreed, while 8.8 percent of the SME respondents disagreed.

Table 4.13: Global factors hinder SME growth

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Valid</th>
<th>Strong Disagree</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumulative Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutral</td>
<td></td>
<td>25</td>
<td>31.3</td>
<td>31.3</td>
<td>41.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agree</td>
<td></td>
<td>34</td>
<td>42.5</td>
<td>42.5</td>
<td>83.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strongly Agree</td>
<td></td>
<td>13</td>
<td>16.3</td>
<td>16.3</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>80</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 4.12: Lack of competitiveness
Figure 4.13: Global factors hinder SME growth

Table 4.13 and Figure 4.13 provide the study findings as to whether respondents agreed or disagreed that some factors that hinder SME growth in the clothing manufacturing industry, also affect SMEs from outside SA. Agreement was indicated by 42.5 percent of the respondents, 31.3 percent remained neutral and 16.3 percent strongly agreed, while 8.8 percent of the respondent SMEs disagreed and 1.3 percent strongly disagreed.

Table 4.14: Cheap imports harm clothing industry growth

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid</th>
<th>Cumulative</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Percent</td>
<td>Percent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valid</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strongly Disagree</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutral</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>8.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>18.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strongly Agree</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>81.3</td>
<td>81.3</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 4.14: Cheap imports harm the growth of the clothing industry

Table 4.14 and Figure 4.14 present the study findings with regard to respondent agreement or disagreement that Cheap imports harm the growth of the clothing industry. Most (81.3 percent) participants strongly agreed with the statement, 10 percent agreed, while 3.8 percent indicated neutral and another 3.8 percent disagreed and 1.3 percent strongly disagreed.

Table 4.15: Unreliable raw material suppliers harm the growth of competitiveness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumulative Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Valid</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>6.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutral</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>11.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>21.3</td>
<td>21.3</td>
<td>32.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strongly Agree</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>67.5</td>
<td>67.5</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 4.15: Unreliable raw material suppliers harm the growth of competitiveness

Table 4.15 and Figure 4.15 indicate that most respondents agreed that unreliable raw material suppliers harm the competitiveness of small businesses in the KZN clothing manufacturing industry, as a result, 67.5 percent strongly agreed and 21.3 percent agreed, while 6.3 percent disagreed and five percent remained neutral.

Table 4.16: Gaining competitiveness can be an excellent tool for SMEs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumulative Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Valid</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strong Agree</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>23.8</td>
<td>23.8</td>
<td>25.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutral</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>75.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strongly Agree</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 4.16: Gaining competitiveness can be an excellent tool for SMEs

Table 4.16 and Figure 4.16 show respondent views as to whether gaining competitiveness can be an excellent tool for many SMEs in the clothing manufacturing industry to achieve long-term business growth. Half (50 percent) of the respondents agreed and 23.8 percent indicated neutral, 25 percent strongly agreed, while 1.3 percent disagreed.

Table 4.17: Changes of customer expectations and needs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumulative Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Valid</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutral</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>12.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>31.3</td>
<td>31.3</td>
<td>43.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strongly Agree</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>56.3</td>
<td>56.3</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4.17 and Figure 4.17 illustrate whether customer expectations and needs are constantly changing in the clothing manufacturing industry, and this negatively affects SMEs. Most responses from participants were positive, as 56.3 percent strongly agreed and 32.3 percent agreed, while 7.5 percent indicated neutral and five percent disagreed.

**Figure 4.17: Changes of customer expectations and needs**

Table 4.18: Technological developments and market globalisation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumulative Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Valid</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strong Disagree</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>6.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutral</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>16.3</td>
<td>16.3</td>
<td>22.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>46.3</td>
<td>46.3</td>
<td>68.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strongly Agree</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>31.3</td>
<td>31.3</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 4.18: Technological developments and market globalisation

Table 4.18 and Figure 4.18 show respondent perceptions as to whether in the clothing manufacturing industry, SME survival increasingly depends on coping with factors such as technological developments and market globalisation. The majority (46.3 percent) of respondents agreed and 31.3 percent strongly agreed, while 16.3 percent indicated neutral, five percent disagreed and 1.3 percent strongly disagreed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumulative Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Valid</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strong Disagree</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>13.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutral</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>31.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>21.3</td>
<td>21.3</td>
<td>52.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strongly Agree</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>47.5</td>
<td>47.5</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 4.19: Internationalisation has been considered by participants

Table 4.19 and Figure 4.19 indicate whether respondents agreed or disagreed that internationalisation has been considered a factor that enables SMEs in the clothing manufacturing industry to become competitive and grow. Most respondents (47.5 percent) strongly agreed with the statement and 21.3 percent of respondents agreed, while 17.5 percent were neutral, 12.5 percent disagreed and 1.3 percent strongly disagreed.

Table 4.20: Poor usage of information technology by participants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumulative Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Valid</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strongly Disagree</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>11.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutral</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>26.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>37.5</td>
<td>37.5</td>
<td>63.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strongly Agree</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>36.3</td>
<td>36.3</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4.20 and Figure 4.20 reflect whether respondents agreed or disagreed that the use of information technology by SMEs in the clothing manufacturing industry is feeble. Most respondents (37.5 percent) agreed with the statement, 36.3 percent of respondents strongly agreed, while 15 percent were neutral, 7.5 percent disagreed and 3.8 percent strongly disagreed.

**Table 4.21: Cheap labour costs can help participants**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumulative Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Valid</td>
<td>Strong</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>8.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>28.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Neutral</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>53.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>78.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Strongly Agree</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>21.3</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>80</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 4.21: Cheap labour costs can help respondents

Table 4.21 and Figure 4.21 shows whether respondents agreed or disagreed that cheap labour costs can help the clothing manufacturing industry to revive its competitiveness. The same percentage of respondents (25 percent) agreed with the statement as those who indicated neutral, with 21.3 percent that strongly agreed, 20 percent of the respondents that disagreed and 8.8 percent that strongly disagreed.

Table 4.22: Rapid advances in ICT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumulative Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Valid</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Neutral</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>22.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>45.0</td>
<td>67.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Strongly Agree</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>32.5</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Frequency

Percentage
Table 4.22 and Figure 4.22 indicate whether participants agreed or disagreed that the rapid advances in ICT have contributed to the competitiveness of SMEs in the clothing manufacturing industry. Most respondents (45 percent) agreed with the statement, 32.5 percent strongly agreed, while 17.5 percent indicated neutral and five percent disagreed.

**Table 4.23: Access to finance**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumulative Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Valid</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutral</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>23.8</td>
<td>23.8</td>
<td>33.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strongly Agree</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>66.3</td>
<td>66.3</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 4.22: Rapid advances in ICT**

The graph illustrates the distribution of responses regarding the contribution of rapid advances in ICT to the competitiveness of SMEs. The data shows a significant majority of respondents agreeing or strongly agreeing with the statement.
Figure 4.23: Access to finance

Table 4.23 and Figure 4.23 show whether participants agreed or disagreed that access to finance continues to be a significant problem for SMEs in the SA clothing manufacturing industry, of the eThekwini District Municipality. Results show most (66.3 percent) respondents strongly agreed with the statement and 23.8 percent agreed, while five percent disagreed, 3.8 percent remained neutral and 1.3 percent strongly disagreed.

Table 4.24: Political, economic, social, environmental, and legal factors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid</th>
<th>Cumulative Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Percent</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Frequency</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valid</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Neutral</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>42.5</td>
<td>47.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Strongly Agree</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>52.5</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 4.24: Political, economic, social, environmental, and legal factors

Table 4.24 and Figure 4.24 show respondent perceptions whether external factors such as political, economic, social, environmental, and legal factors hinder SMEs from achieving competitiveness and growth. Results show that most respondents (52.5 percent) strongly agreed with the statement and 42.5 percent agreed, while 3.8 percent disagreed and 1.3 percent indicated neutral.

Table 4.25: National minimum wages

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumulative Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Valid</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutral</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>22.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>35.0</td>
<td>35.0</td>
<td>57.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strongly Agree</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>42.5</td>
<td>42.5</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 4.25: National minimum wages

Table 4.25 and Figure 4.25 indicate whether respondents agreed or disagreed that national minimum wages have been a threat for many SMEs in the clothing manufacturing industry. Results show that most (42.5 percent) respondents strongly agreed with the statement and 35 percent agreed, while 17.5 percent remained neutral and five percent of the respondents disagreed.

Table 4.26: Outsourcing production as a strategic tool

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumulative Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutral</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>22.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>31.3</td>
<td>53.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strongly Agree</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>46.3</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 4.26: Outsourcing production as a strategic tool

Table 4.26 and Figure 4.26 show whether participants agreed or disagreed that high labour costs have resulted in many SMEs in the clothing manufacturing industry outsourcing their production to neighbouring countries such as Lesotho for cheap labour. Results illustrate that most (46.3 percent) respondents strongly agreed with the statement and 31.3 percent agreed, with 15 percent indicating neutral and 7.5 percent of respondents that disagreed.

Table 4.27: Government regulations limit respondents to gain competitiveness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumulative Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Valid</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutral</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>15.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>28.7</td>
<td>28.7</td>
<td>43.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strongly Agree</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>56.3</td>
<td>56.3</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 4.27: Government regulations limit respondents to gain competitiveness

Table 4.27 and Figure 4.27 illustrate respondent agreement or disagreement that the government may impose regulations that may limit SMEs in clothing manufacturing to gain competitiveness. Results show most respondents (56.3 percent) strongly agreed with the statement and 28.7 percent agreed, while 10 percent disagreed and five percent were neutral.

Table 4.28: Mismanagement of cash

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid</th>
<th>Cumulative Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Valid</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>6.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutral</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>27.5</td>
<td>27.5</td>
<td>33.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>47.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strongly Agree</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>52.5</td>
<td>52.5</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 4.28: Mismanagement of cash

Table 4.28 and Figure 4.28 show whether respondents agreed or disagreed that mismanagement of cash continues to be a significant problem for SMEs in the clothing manufacturing industry. Results indicate that most (52.5 percent) respondents strongly agreed with the statement, while 27.5 percent remained neutral, 13.8 percent agreed and 6.3 percent disagreed.

Table 4.29: Cheap labour and less cheap imports to help participants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Valid Percent</th>
<th>Cumulative Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Valid</td>
<td>Neutral</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>18.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>33.8</td>
<td>52.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Strongly Agree</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>47.5</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>80</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 4.29: Cheap labour and less cheap imports to help respondents

Table 4.29 and Figure 4.29 indicate respondent views that the clothing manufacturing industry in countries with cheap labour and less cheap imports have more of a competitive advantage. Results show that most respondents (47.5 percent) strongly agreed with the statement and 33.8 percent agreed, while 18.8 percent were neutral.

4.4 Factor Analysis

According to Ngibe (2020: 111), an acceptable Kaiser Meyer Olkin (KMO) measure of sampling adequacy value should be greater than 0.500, with Bartlett’s Test of Sphericity having to be less than 0.05 to be considered significant.

Table 4.30: KMO and Bartlett’s Test

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin Measure of Sampling Adequacy</th>
<th>.609</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bartlett’s Test of Sphericity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Approx. Chi-Square</td>
<td>125.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>df</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sig.</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.30 reflects the summarised results of KMO and Bartlett’s tests. Results from the above table indicate a 0.609 KMO measure of sampling adequacy has a strong significant impact on Bartlett’s test of sphericity, which is 0.000 (Dlamini 2017: 95) and shows that there were sufficient items for each factor.
Table 4.31: Human Capital

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Human Capital</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High Labour cost has resulted in many SMEs in the clothing manufacturing</td>
<td>0.868</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>industry outsourcing their production to neighbouring countries such as</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lesotho for cheap labour.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National minimum wages have been a threat for many SMEs in the clothing</td>
<td>0.755</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>manufacturing industry.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMEs in the clothing manufacturing industry find the industry extremely</td>
<td>0.727</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>competitive.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The rapid advances in information and communication technology have</td>
<td>0.654</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>contributed to the competitiveness of SMEs in the clothing manufacturing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>industry.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Two component tests were conducted on the responses from the questionnaire statement, the first component indicated a positive significance as illustrated (Table 4.31), with a first variable of 0.868. This is indicated as a positive significance regarding the statement “High Labour cost has resulted in many SMEs in the clothing manufacturing industry outsourcing their production to neighbouring countries such as Lesotho for cheap labour”. The first factor contributes 41.69 percent to the overall variance.

A figure of 0.755 is also indicated as a positively significant factor that contributes 21.89 percent to the overall variance regarding its statement of “National minimum wages have been a threat for many SMEs in the clothing manufacturing industry”. Another positive significance of 0.727 and contribution of 13.78 percent were found to the overall variance that SMEs in the clothing manufacturing industry find the industry extremely competitive. The final factor contributes 12.38 percent to the overall variance and shows 0.654 that the rapid advances in ICT have contributed to the competitiveness of SMEs in the clothing manufacturing industry.

Table 4.32: Cheap Imports

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cheap Imports</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Customer expectations and needs are constantly changing in the clothing</td>
<td>0.883</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>manufacturing industry, and this negatively affects SMEs.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Cheap imports harm the growth of the clothing industry.  0.753

The second component test conducted also showing a positive significance as illustrated from (Table 4.32) as to whether customer expectations and needs are constantly changing in the clothing manufacturing industry, the respondents indicated a positive significance of 0.883 and contributes 6.38 percent to the overall variance. Changes in customer expectations and needs shows a positive significance of 0.753 that cheap imports harm the growth of the clothing manufacturing industry and contributes 4.26 percent to the overall variance. All tested variables therefore indicate a strong significance.

4.5  Cronbach’s Alpha
According to Dlamini (2017: 61) the Cronbach’s Alpha is used to test correlation in all variables, correlation is either strong or no significance, reliability indicating a strong correlation should be as close to 1 as possible.

4.5.1 Validity and Reliability
According to Middleton (2019), validity and reliability are used to measure the quality of research. Validity can only be used to measure the consistency of the research, while reliability can be used to measure the accuracy of the research. Therefore, to ensure both validity and reliability of this study, the researcher measured the pilot testing results. A study by Surucu and Maslakci (2020) revealed that although these concepts are closely related, they express different properties of measuring instruments, adding that measuring instruments must meet these two concepts in order to interpret quality research findings.

The validity of the study was ensured by carefully examining questionnaire responses to ascertain whether they in fact represent the research expectations through closed-ended questions. The questionnaire was pre-tested using a pilot study to establish the credibility and validity of the findings. Reliability of the study was ensured by distributing a questionnaire to all clothing manufacturers registered with the National Bargaining Council for the clothing manufacturing industry in the eThekwini district municipality. The instructions on the questionnaires were consistent throughout the questionnaires.
Table 4.33: Reliability Statistics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reliability Statistics</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cronbach's Alpha</td>
<td>.834</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N of Items</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.33 above reflects the Cronbach’s alpha score for all the items that are in the questionnaire. The above table indicates the average reliability score of 0.834, which considered as an acceptable and consistent score since it exceeds the recommended score of Cronbach’s Alpha.

4.6 Pearson’s Chi-Square Test

The traditional approach to reporting study results requires a statement of statistical significance, or a p-value generated from the test statistic. A significant result is indicated by p-values greater than 0.05 (p>0.05), with Table 4.34 indicating all highlighted p-values as significant results because p>0.05.

Table 4.34 shows both null hypothesis and alternate hypothesis. (Mertler and Reinhart 2016) null hypothesis is a type of hypothesis used in statistics that states there is no association between two different variables, whereas, alternate hypothesis indicates an association between variables. Table 4.34 summarises results obtained from the Chi-Square test.

As shown in Table 4.34, results indicate, for example, that the p-value for the statement “SMEs in the clothing manufacturing companies experience intense pressure when it comes to competitiveness”, and “There is a lack of knowledge and understanding about factors contributing to the competitiveness of SMEs in the clothing manufacturing companies”, is 0.185, which indicates a significant result because 0.185 is greater than 0.05 (p>0.05). The p-value for the statement “SMEs in the clothing manufacturing companies experience intense pressure when it comes to competitiveness”, and “Customer demands lower production costs which make SMEs in clothing manufacturing uncompetitive” is 0.035, which means there is no significant results since 0.035 is less than 0.05 (p<0.05).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1.1</th>
<th>1.2</th>
<th>1.3</th>
<th>1.4</th>
<th>1.5</th>
<th>2.1</th>
<th>2.2</th>
<th>2.3</th>
<th>2.4</th>
<th>2.5</th>
<th>2.6</th>
<th>2.7</th>
<th>2.8</th>
<th>2.9</th>
<th>2.1</th>
<th>2.1</th>
<th>2.1</th>
<th>2.1</th>
<th>2.1</th>
<th>2.1</th>
<th>2.1</th>
<th>2.1</th>
<th>2.1</th>
<th>2.1</th>
<th>2.1</th>
<th>2.1</th>
<th>2.1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>.60</td>
<td>.59</td>
<td>.15</td>
<td>.12</td>
<td>.46</td>
<td>.73</td>
<td>.47</td>
<td>.79</td>
<td>.82</td>
<td>.29</td>
<td>.61</td>
<td>.03</td>
<td>.57</td>
<td>.94</td>
<td>.28</td>
<td>.33</td>
<td>.66</td>
<td>.32</td>
<td>.45</td>
<td>.44</td>
<td>.03</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>.58</td>
<td>.81</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>.07</td>
<td>.16</td>
<td>.09</td>
<td>.43</td>
<td>.07</td>
<td>.22</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.29</td>
<td>.04</td>
<td>.23</td>
<td>.49</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>.06</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.13</td>
<td>.08</td>
<td>.16</td>
<td>.12</td>
<td>.07</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>.15</td>
<td>.85</td>
<td>.57</td>
<td>.28</td>
<td>.53</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.08</td>
<td>.10</td>
<td>.50</td>
<td>.03</td>
<td>.55</td>
<td>.69</td>
<td>.16</td>
<td>.32</td>
<td>.06</td>
<td>.65</td>
<td>.29</td>
<td>.28</td>
<td>.48</td>
<td>.08</td>
<td>.46</td>
<td>.05</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.08</td>
<td>.15</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>.14</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>.14</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.18</td>
<td>.06</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.05</td>
<td>.11</td>
<td>.03</td>
<td>.56</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>.47</td>
<td>.07</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>.49</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>.10</td>
<td>.06</td>
<td>.18</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.09</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.09</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>.55</td>
<td>.57</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.25</td>
<td>.08</td>
<td>.58</td>
<td>.61</td>
<td>.52</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>.80</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.64</td>
<td>.37</td>
<td>.06</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>.57</td>
<td>.50</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>.17</td>
<td>.07</td>
<td>.51</td>
<td>.97</td>
<td>.07</td>
<td>.03</td>
<td>.52</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>.03</td>
<td>.18</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.35</td>
<td>.26</td>
<td>.29</td>
<td>.80</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.64</td>
<td>.37</td>
<td>.06</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>.57</td>
<td>.50</td>
<td>.15</td>
<td>.26</td>
<td>.79</td>
<td>.05</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.37</td>
<td>.09</td>
<td>.03</td>
<td>.03</td>
<td>.07</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>.21</td>
<td>.03</td>
<td>.08</td>
<td>.19</td>
<td>.08</td>
<td>.04</td>
<td>.10</td>
<td>.05</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>.48</td>
<td>.15</td>
<td>.05</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.05</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.06</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>.04</td>
<td>.05</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.10</td>
<td>.58</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>.67</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.16</td>
<td>.10</td>
<td>.34</td>
<td>.53</td>
<td>.03</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.16</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.34</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.20</td>
<td>.37</td>
<td>.30</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.31</td>
<td>.09</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.06</td>
<td>.43</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.06</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.36</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>.19</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.69</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.17</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.04</td>
<td>.06</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>.39</td>
<td>.54</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.36</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.34: Pearson’s Chi-Square Test

$p$-value of the Pearson’s coefficient of correlation
4.7 Conclusion

Analyses of data collected for the study were presented in this chapter. From the frequency tables, it is indicated that the response rate from participants of the study was 100 percent. It can be concluded from the analyses that specific and significant factors exist that influence the competitiveness of small and medium clothing manufacturing enterprises in the eThekwini municipal district in KZN. The following chapter provides conclusions and recommendations from the results of the study.
CHAPTER 5
RECOMMENDATIONS AND CONCLUSIONS

5.1 Introduction
The previous chapter presented the analysis of research outcomes and examined the overall results of the study through frequency tables and bar graphs. The purpose of this chapter is to provide the findings related to the literature reviewed, research questions, conclusions of the study, recommendations about factors that could be employed to improve competitiveness of SMEs in the clothing manufacturing industry, as well as suggestions for further research.

5.2 Summary of Key Findings
The study reveals:

- The intense pressure of competitiveness faced by SMEs in the clothing manufacturing industry at the eThekwini district municipality of KZN.
- SMEs in the clothing manufacturing industry find the industry extremely competitive.
- Customers may demand lower production costs, which make SMEs in clothing manufacturing uncompetitive.
- SMEs lack knowledge and understanding about factors contributing to competitiveness, this could result in a high risk of incompetency.
- There is a clear indication that access to market (customers) is a challenge for many companies at the eThekwini District of KZN in the clothing manufacturing industry.
- Factories at the eThekwini District of KZN in the clothing manufacturing industry need more industrial machines to stay competitive.
- There is a lack of competitiveness which has limited the growth of SMEs in the clothing manufacturing industry.
- Some factors hindering the growth of SMEs in the clothing manufacturing industry also affect SMEs from outside SA.
- Cheap imports have a negative impact on the growth of the clothing manufacturing industry.
- Unreliable raw material suppliers harm the competitiveness of small businesses in the clothing manufacturing industry.
• Gaining competitiveness can be an excellent tool for many SMEs in the clothing manufacturing industry to achieve long-term business growth.
• Customer expectations and needs are constantly changing in the clothing manufacturing industry, and this negatively affects SMEs.
• SME survival increasingly depends on coping with factors such as technological developments and market globalization especially in the clothing industry.
• Internationalisation has been considered as a factor that enables SMEs in the clothing manufacturing industry to become competitive and grow.
• The use of information technology by SMEs in the clothing manufacturing industry is feeble.
• Cheap labour costs can help the clothing manufacturing industry revive its competitiveness.
• Rapid advances in ICT have contributed to the competitiveness of SMEs in the clothing manufacturing industry.
• Access to finance continues to be a significant problem for SMEs in the clothing manufacturing industry.
• There is a clear indication that external factors such as political, economic, social, technological, environmental, and legal hinder SMEs from achieving competitiveness and growth.
• National minimum wages have been perceived as a threat for many SMEs in the clothing manufacturing industry, which has resulted in many SMEs retrenching staff and, therefore, lowering productivity.
• High labour cost has resulted in many SMEs in the clothing manufacturing industry outsourcing their production to neighbouring countries such as Lesotho for cheap labour.
• Government may impose regulations that may limit SMEs in clothing manufacturing to gain competitiveness.
• Mismanagement of cash continues to be a significant problem for SMEs in the clothing manufacturing industry.
• Countries with cheap labour and less cheap imports have more of a competitive advantage, especially in the clothing manufacturing industry.
Table 5.1: Main Findings on Statistical Tests

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STATEMENTS</th>
<th>Component</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High Labour cost has resulted in many SMEs in the clothing manufacturing</td>
<td>0.868</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>industry outsourcing their production to neighbouring countries such as</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lesotho for cheap labour.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National minimum wages have been a threat for many SMEs in the clothing</td>
<td>0.755</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>manufacturing industry.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMEs in the clothing manufacturing industry find the industry extremely</td>
<td>0.727</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>competitive.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The rapid advances in information and communication technology have</td>
<td>0.654</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>contributed to the competitiveness of SMEs in the clothing manufacturing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>industry.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Customer expectations and needs are constantly changing in the clothing</td>
<td>0.883</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>manufacturing industry, and this negatively affects SMEs.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cheap imports harm the growth of the clothing industry.</td>
<td>0.753</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results from a statical analysis of major variables, found using a component matrix are illustrated (Table 5.1); the test was done on the questionnaire statements.

5.3 Literature Review Findings

It has been indicated in the literature review that the sector is believed to be in a highly competitive environment, with challenges ranging from international imports to raw materials shortages, such as fabric, which has negatively influenced its ability to remain competitive against lower prices of imported garments (Fundira 2016). The findings of this study also supported that SMEs in the clothing manufacturing industry find the industry extremely competitive and effects of cheap imports in harming the clothing industry.

The reviewed literature shows that labour cost is one of the significant variables influencing industry competitiveness. Taylor et al. (2021) further deliberated that labour costs impact the sector’s performance in many ways, such as lack of exports, as experienced by the SA clothing manufacturing industry. The issue of high labour cost is also found to be the main finding of this study, this study supported that high labour
costs have resulted in many SMEs in the clothing manufacturing industry outsourcing their production to neighbouring countries, such as Lesotho, for cheap labour.

The literature also finds the lack of management skills, particularly SMEs, is a big challenge at managerial level. Inadequate management skills amongst SME owners and managers can be attributed to deficient education and training (Leboea 2017: 58); therefore, resulting in reduced management capabilities in the sector. Lack of education and training is one reason there is an extremely high failure rate of SMEs. Therefore, the findings of this study again finds that SMEs’ lack of knowledge and understanding about factors contributing to competitiveness, has contributed to high risk of incompetency.

Akram and Jamal (2018: 511) state that the use of IT has contributed much to the competitiveness of SMEs, “influencing the attracting of potential customers and vendors online, while an enterprise must also differentiate itself in terms of costing and the offer of quality products and services to gain competitiveness”. Smales (2020) showed that modern technologies enable SMEs to achieve global markets at a much lower cost, while the use of e-commerce has helped clothing retailers with rapid growth of sales, competitive advantage and reducing marketing costs. Susanto and Wasito (2017: 282) argued that SMEs could improve performance and enhance competitiveness through innovation strategies. The findings of this study also supported that rapid advance in information and communication technology have contributed to the competitiveness of SMEs in the clothing manufacturing industry.

The findings of this study supported the notion that customer expectations and needs are constantly changing in the clothing manufacturing industry, and usually this negatively affects small enterprises. Literature published by Matrutty, Franksisca and Damayanti (2018) supported that improvement in living standards is considered a contributing factor in accelerating demand. Some scholars have indicated that a high level of domestic demand and the capability to produce high-quality products also contribute to innovations and technological developments (Ahmedova 2015; Genc, Dayan and Genc 2019).
5.4 Conclusions

The conclusions of this study are grounded on the key findings. Additionally, conclusions also cover the aim and objectives of the study. Key findings show that increasing labour cost and cheap imports can be viewed as the major determinants of the increasing lack of SA clothing manufacturing industry competitiveness.

Additional conclusions drawn from the study are highlighted based on those factors that affect:

- Business location - most respondents operated from the Durban central area. This is a significant sign that being in the central part of the city brings some benefits, such as access to customers and availability of transport for employees.
- Type of business - the majority type of business operated by participating SME clothing manufacturers was shown to be CMTs.
- SME employee numbers - more than half of the respondent SMEs have 1-50 employees, thus indicating small businesses.
- Number of years of operation - a large percentage (62.5 percent) of respondents indicated they had been in operation for more than 10 years. It is also noted from various studies that once a business has operated for more than ten years, that business is regarded as having survived.
- Competitor type - the highest number of respondents indicated this as local competitors.
- Intense pressure of competitiveness - most (53.8 percent) respondents indicated agreement that competitiveness brings intense pressure and strong agreement was indicated by 43.8 percent of the respondent clothing manufacturing SMEs.
- Production costs - Most respondents were in agreement that customers demand lower production costs when placing their orders, with 46.3 percent of respondents that agreed and 40 percent that strongly agreed this factor affects their production costs.
- Lack of knowledge - respondents include the lack of knowledge and understanding about factors contributing to the competitiveness of SMEs in the clothing manufacturing industry. Most (51.2 percent) of the respondents agreed and 28.7 percent strongly agreed that competitiveness knowledge and understanding were lacking.
• Access to market - most (40 percent) of the respondent clothing manufacturing SMEs in the eThekwini District Municipality agreed and 32.5 percent strongly agreed that access to market is a challenge for them.

• SME competitiveness - SMEs in the clothing manufacturing industry find the industry extremely competitive, with half (50 percent) of respondents that agreed and 43.8 percent that strongly agreed.

• Industrial machinery - Owners of factories in the SME clothing manufacturing industry of the eThekwini District Municipality agreed that they need more industrial machines to stay competitive. The majority (42.5 percent) agreed, and 40 percent strongly agreed.

• SME growth - lack of competitiveness has limited the growth of SMEs in the clothing manufacturing industry with most (55 percent) respondents that agreed. Unreliable raw material suppliers harm the competitiveness of small businesses in the clothing manufacturing industry, as a result 67.5 percent of participants strongly agreed and 21.3 percent agreed. The study found that some factors hindering the growth of SMEs in the clothing manufacturing industry also affect SMEs from outside South Africa. Furthermore, gaining competitiveness can be an excellent tool for many SMEs in the clothing manufacturing industry to achieve long-term business growth.

• Cheap imports - the growth of the SA clothing industry is harmed by cheap imports. Most (81.3 percent) respondents strongly agreed and 10 percent agreed.

• Customer expectations and needs - as these are constantly changing in the clothing manufacturing industry, this negatively affects SMEs.

• Technological developments and market globalisation - SME survival increasingly depends on coping with these factors. Agreement was indicated by 46.3 percent and 31.3 percent strongly agreed. The use of information technology by SMEs in the clothing manufacturing industry was found to be feeble, with rapid advances in ICT contributing to clothing manufacturing SME competitiveness. Most respondents (45 percent) agreed with the statement, while 32.5 percent strongly agreed.

• Cheap labour costs and minimum wages, these factors can help the clothing manufacturing industry to revive its competitiveness. In addition, national minimum wages have been a threat for many SMEs in the clothing manufacturing industry. Most (42.5 percent) respondents strongly agreed with the statement and 35 percent agreed that high labour cost has resulted in many SMEs in the clothing
manufacturing industry outsourcing their production to neighbouring countries such as Lesotho for cheap labour. Furthermore, the majority (46.3 percent) of respondents strongly agreed with the statement and 31.3 percent agreed. The clothing manufacturing industry in countries with cheap labour and less cheap imports has a more competitive advantage.

- External factors - such as political, economic, social, environmental, and legal factors hinder SMEs from achieving competitiveness and growth. Results indicate that most (52.5 percent) respondents strongly agreed with the statement and 42.5 percent agreed.

- Government regulations - these are perceived to limit SMEs in the clothing manufacturing to gain competitiveness. The results show that most (56.3 percent) of the respondents strongly agreed with the statement and 28.7 percent agreed.

- Mismanagement of cash - this continues to be a significant problem for SMEs in the KZN clothing manufacturing industry.

5.5 Implications of the Study
The study highlights many varied factors influencing the competitiveness of small and medium clothing manufacturing enterprises in the eThekwini municipal district in KZN.

5.6 Recommendations
It is evident from the findings that competitiveness of the clothing manufacturing industry is challenged by various factors that hinder small businesses to cope with competitiveness. These include:

- High labour cost has resulted in many SMEs in the clothing manufacturing industry outsourcing their production to neighbouring countries such as Lesotho for cheap labour. Therefore, this study recommends that SMEs should consider employing both un-educated and unskilled labour and train them to take advantage of cheap labour.

- National minimum wages have a direct impact on workers and competitiveness of companies, it has been also a threat for many SMEs in the clothing manufacturing industry. This study recommends a well-established and modified minimum wage system, developed or adopted in full cooperation with both employers and employees. The adoption of appropriate measures should also comprise adequate inspection to ensure effective application of minimum wage provisions.
• SMEs in the clothing manufacturing industry find the industry extremely competitive. This study recommends that for SMEs to be considered competitive; they should design their strategies to compete with various factors that include better working conditions for workers and pay attention to purchasing conducive and quality inputs to gain competitive advantage.

• The rapid advances in ICT have contributed to the competitiveness of SMEs in the clothing manufacturing industry. This study recommends that SMEs avail themselves of a technologically skilful workforce and identify emerging technologies within the industry that could assist in the process of innovation and contribute to their competitiveness.

• Customer expectations and needs are constantly changing in the clothing manufacturing industry, and this negatively affects SMEs. According to Gonda et al. (2020) SMEs need to focus more on better understanding and meeting consumer expectations.

• Cheap imports harm the growth of the clothing industry. Government must, therefore, convince South Africans to buy local made products, they should also tighten border posts and ensure proper searches and security forces to ensure that no illegal cheap imports enter the country; this may cause unfair competition. Furthermore, high duties and tariffs should be levied on all imports.

5.7 Suggestions for Further Research
The study recommends that:

• Further studies be conducted in other districts of the KZN province;
• Support structures by public and private sectors that can mitigate the effects of illegal cheap textile and clothing imports should be researched;
• Future research ought to examine the potential of skills training for manufacturing SME employees, regarding not only management, but also technology and business administration;
• An added area to investigate, is whether higher education programmes equip graduates to survive as SMEs in the clothing manufacturing industry.
5.8 Conclusion

This chapter presented the summary of the findings related to the literature reviewed, research questions, conclusions of the study, recommendations about factors that could be employed to improve competitiveness of SMEs in the clothing manufacturing industry, as well as suggestions for further research.

Factors influencing the competitiveness of small and medium clothing manufacturing enterprises in the eThekwini municipal district in KZN have been shown as varied and reflect the challenges faced by these small enterprises, while competitive strategies and worker conditions were shown to need improvement.
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APPENDICES

Appendix 1: Letter of Information and Consent Letter

LETTER OF INFORMATION

Title of the Research Study: Factors contributing to the competitiveness of Small and Medium Enterprises in the clothing industry at the eThekwini Municipality, District of KZN.

Principal Investigator/s/researcher: Mr. Dalisu Mkhize

Co-Investigator/s/supervisor/s: Dr L.M Lekhanya, PhD

Brief Introduction and Purpose of the Study: The study intends to enable SMEs to grow. The findings of this study will support SMEs in making strategic decisions about competitiveness factors and thus increase the level of competitiveness. This study also aims to contribute to the competitiveness literature by finding the factors that affect SMEs’ competitiveness and their influence in the clothing manufacturing industry and suggests the best mechanism on how South African SMEs in a clothing manufacturing industry can improve their level of competitiveness.

Greeting Hello, I hope you are doing good.

Please introduce yourself to the participant: I am a registered student at the Durban University of Technology in Entrepreneurial Studies and Management. I am currently pursuing a master’s degree in Management Sciences (Business Administration).

Invitation to the potential participant: I would like to invite you to participate in the research study. I shall be grateful if you could please complete the attached questionnaire. The questionnaire should take 15 minutes to complete and requires only a tick to the relevant column. You can be assured that your response will receive the utmost confidentiality.

Outline of the Procedures: You will have to answer the questionnaire sent to you by the researcher through email due to COVID-19 and lockdown regulations. The questionnaire should take about 20-30 minutes to complete. The researcher will make follow-up through email to check the progress and take questions you may have.

The purpose of this study is to figure out factors contributing to the competitiveness of SMEs and describe their influence on the clothing manufacturing industry at the eThekwini Municipality District of KZN. The measuring instrument used to collect data from you is a self-completion closed-ended 5-point - Likert scale questionnaire, where you choose whether you strongly agree, agree, neutral, disagree, or strongly disagree. All questions are developed from the research problem and objectives of the study.

Risks or Discomforts to the Participant: No physical, social, or economic risks are posed to you, and taking part in the study will not affect your business status.
Explain to the participant the reasons he/she may be withdrawn from the Study: The study is voluntary; should you suffer from illness, adverse reaction during participation, you may withdraw anytime. There will be no consequences should you choose to withdraw anytime.

Benefits: The study intends to enable SMEs to grow. The study will support SMEs in making strategic decisions about competitiveness factors, thus increasing the level of competitiveness.

Remuneration: Taking part in this study is voluntary; you will not receive any reward or payment.

Costs of the Study: Please note that no reward or payment will be given to you, and there is no cost attached to the study.

Confidentiality: Only the researcher and supervisor have access to contact information and responses. Your identification will only be used to contact you; your response will be recorded on a form with a code number created by the researcher (respondent A or B); by using code numbers, your responses cannot be singled out.

Results: The findings of this study will be made available through publications in accredited peer-reviewed journals, presentations at local and international conferences, seminars, and workshops conducted to increase competitive awareness in the industry. Any new findings developed during the research will be conveyed to you via email.

Research-related Injury: The study seeks you to answer the questionnaire. Therefore, there are no research-related injuries expected for this study.

Storage of all electronic and hard copies, including tape recordings: Completed and returned questionnaire will be stored in safe storage for five years and after that be shredded; Electronic records will be kept for five years and after that be deleted.

Persons to contact in the event of any problems or queries: Please contact the researcher Mr. D.M Mkhize, 063 494 3618 or 21648874@dut4life.ac.za., my supervisor Dr L.M Lekhanya, 079 757 1631 or lawrencel@dut.ac.za, or the Institutional Research Ethics Administrator on 031 373 2375. Complaints can be reported to the Director: Research and Postgraduate Support Dr L Linganiso on 031 373 2577 or researchdirector@dut.ac.za.
CONSENT

Full Title of the Study: Factors contributing to the competitiveness of Small and Medium Enterprises in the clothing industry at the eThekwini Municipality, District of KZN.

Names of researcher/s: Mr. Dalisu Mkhize

Statement of Agreement to Participate in the Research Study:

- I hereby confirm that I have been informed by the researcher, Mr. Dalisu Mkhize (name of researcher), about the nature, conduct, benefits, and risks of this study - Research Ethics Clearance Number: IREC 036/21,

- I have also received, read, and understood the above written information (Participant Letter of Information) regarding the study.

- I am aware that the results of the study, including personal details regarding my sex, age, date of birth, initials, and diagnosis will be anonymously processed into a study report.

- In view of the requirements of research, I agree that the data collected during this study can be processed in a computerised system by the researcher.

- I may, at any stage, without prejudice, withdraw my consent and participation in the study.

- I have had sufficient opportunity to ask questions and (of my own free will) declare myself prepared to participate in the study.

- I understand that significant new findings developed during this research which may relate to my participation will be made available to me.
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I, Dalisu Mkhize (name of researcher) herewith confirm that the above participant has been fully informed about the nature, conduct, and risks of the above study.

Dalisu Mkhize  27 May 2021

Full Name of Researcher  Date  Signature
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Full Name of Witness (If applicable)</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Signature</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Full Name of Legal Guardian (If applicable)</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Signature</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
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### Appendix 3: Questionnaire

**Email:**

Please answer the following questions by ticking (✓) to the relevant column:

1. In which part of eThekwini Metropolitan is your business situated?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option</th>
<th>Blanket</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>South of Durban</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Durban Central</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North of Durban</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. What type of clothing manufacturing are you owning?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option</th>
<th>Blanket</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CMT (Cut, Make, Trim)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FPP (Full Package Production)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Design House</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. How many employees are currently employed?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Blanket</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1-50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51-150</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>151-250</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than 250</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. How many years you have been operating in this business?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Blanket</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Less than 1 year</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-2 years</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-5 years</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-9 years</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than 10 years</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6. Which one is regarded as your competitors?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option</th>
<th>Blanket</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Local companies</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foreign companies</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Both</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please indicate your response to the following statements with regards SMEs at the eThekwini Municipality District of KZN in the clothing manufacturing industry by ticking (✓) to the relevant column:

**KEY:**

SA = Strongly Agree, A = Agree, N = Neutral, D = Disagree, SD = Strongly Disagree
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statement</th>
<th>SA</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. SMEs in the clothing manufacturing companies experience intense pressure when it comes to competitiveness.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Customer demands lower production cost which makes SMEs in the clothing manufacturing become uncompetitive.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. There is a lack of knowledge and understanding about factors contributing to the competitiveness of SMEs in the clothing manufacturing companies.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Access to market (customers) is a challenge for many companies at the eThekwini District of KZN in the clothing manufacturing industry.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. SMEs at the in the clothing manufacturing industry find the industry extremely competitive.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Factories at the eThekwini District of KZN in the clothing manufacturing industry needs More resources such as industrial machines to stay competitive.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Lack of competitiveness has limited the growth of SMEs in the clothing manufacturing industry.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Some factors hindering the growth of SMEs in the clothing manufacturing industry also affects SMEs from outside South Africa.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Cheap imports harm the growth of clothing industry.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Unreliable raw material suppliers harm the competitiveness of small businesses in the clothing manufacturing industry.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Gaining competitiveness can be a great tool for many SMEs in the clothing manufacturing industry to achieve a long-term business growth.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Customer expectations and needs are always changing in the clothing manufacturing industry and this negatively affect SMEs.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. SMEs in the clothing manufacturing industry its survival increasingly depends on being able to cope with factors such as technological developments and market globalisation.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. Internationalisation has been considered as a factor which enables SMEs in the clothing manufacturing industry to become competitive and to grow.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15. The use of information technology by SMEs in the clothing manufacturing industry is very weak.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
16. Cheap labour cost can help the clothing manufacturing industry to revive its competitiveness.

17. The rapid advances in the information and communication technology have contributed to the competitiveness of SMEs in the clothing manufacturing industry.

18. Access to finance continue to be a major problem for SMEs in the clothing manufacturing industry.

19. There are external factors such as political, economic, social, environmental, and legal which hinders SMEs to achieve competitiveness and growth.

20. National minimum wages have been a threat for many SMEs in the clothing manufacturing industry.

21. High Labour cost has resulted many SMEs in the clothing manufacturing industry to outsource their production to neighbouring countries such as Lesotho for cheap labour.

22. Government may impose regulations that may limit SMEs in the clothing manufacturing to gain competitiveness.

23. There is mismanagement of government grants by SMEs in the clothing manufacturing industry.

24. Clothing manufacturing industry in countries with cheap labour and least of cheap imports have more competitive advantage than South African clothing manufacturing industry.

Thank you for taking the time to complete this questionnaire. The information you have provided is truly valued.
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