

Ethical Leadership and the Challenge of Service Delivery in South Africa: A Discourse

Zamokuhle Mbandlwa¹, Nirmala Dorasamy², Omololu Fagbadebo³

Department of Public Management and Economics, Durban University of Technology, South Africa

Article Info

Volume 83

Page Number: 24986 – 24998

Publication Issue:

May - June 2020

Article History

Article Received: 11 May 2020

Revised: 19 May 2020

Accepted: 29 May 2020

Publication: 12 June 2020

Abstract:

Ethical leadership challenges in South Africa led to corruption in various government departments. Corruption is the results of poor ethics in leadership which results in poor public service delivery. In this paper we argued that in order to end corruption in South Africa government, the issue of leadership ethics must first be prioritized. The objective of this study was to identify factors that contribute to poor public service delivery and to examine the correlation between bad leadership and poor public service delivery. The results of the study have proven that there is a link between leadership and service delivery. Poor public service delivery in South Africa is caused by poor leadership ethics in government leaders. Therefore, the combination of poor leadership and poor public service delivery affect the daily lives of people in South Africa.

INTRODUCTION

Ethical leadership is a very important component of government, in order to ensure effective public service delivery. Schoeman (2012: 2) states that good leadership is always interpreted as effective when the people appointed to leadership positions are able to achieve organizational goals. Ciulla (2014: 3) believes that leadership is not about an individual or a position, but a moral connection between the people founded on trust, responsibility, commitment and a shared vision of the public goods. Ethics is about how one distinguishes between rights and wrongs or good and evil, which is what leaders should always consider when leading people. Good ethics in leadership improves service delivery (Dorasamy, 2010: 057). A report by Ethics-Research-Centre (2017: 5) revealed that ethics in leadership is a global challenge. Senior leaders are not doing as well as they think they are when it comes to carrying out both their own and the organization's commitment to integrity.

Ethical behaviour is not only important in politically deployed public officials but remains the key factor for effective public service delivery. A number of countries and public institutions in the world, especially in Africa, do not have ethical leaders who make the needs of the public a priority first (Bailey (2018: 240). In South Africa, implementation and review of national, provincial, and local government codes of ethics do not provide an effective solution to fight against unethical behavior in government. (Fourie, 2018: 727). Researchers have noted that poor service delivery and the non-existence of ethical behavior in government leadership and a proper mechanism to address unethical conduct in government institutions as the critical issues affecting public service delivery in the South African government (Krasikova, Green and LeBreton, 2013: 1310). Unethical leadership in any society would jeopardise the welfare of the people.

Furthermore, limited policies guiding ethics in public officials have been identified as the obstacle to effective public service delivery (Carrizales, Zahradnik and Silverio, 2016: 132). According to Kuwana (2017: 01), the South African economy, for instance, is running on a huge trust deficit, which continues to grow. Senior government leaders, expected to show a high level of leadership ethics, have compromised their positions of power. Xu, Loi and Ngo (2016: 494) have suggested that ethical leadership behavior, such as accountability for ethical standards, should echo the procedural and distributive aspects of justice for effective delivery of public services. The way leaders behave has been found to have a substantial impact on initiating employees' faith (Wang, Demerouti and Bakker, 2016: 98).

The South African government has devised a number of measures to curb unethical conduct, such as the establishment of the Public Service Commission (PSC), to fight unethical and poor governance. However, there remain various challenges to overcome the issue of ethical leadership in the country. Consequently, public service delivery in South Africa is facing challenges arising from the lack of ethics in leadership. A study conducted by Cheteni and Shindika (2017: 05) found that the country experienced a deluge of corruption, as well as moral contingency and moral contextualization of the apartheid regime. People not connected to the leadership suffered, and the provision of public services was awfully at slow pace. Furthermore, South Africa seems to be suffering from the consequences of deficient leadership in terms of ethical behaviour for service delivery to the country (Reddy, 2017: 2).

Conceptual Clarification

Ethics in leadership is a global challenge that negatively affects public services. This is influenced

by changes in the core environment of public services, which augment mobility, privatization and the devolution of power (Appelbaum, Degbe, MacDonald and Nguyen-Quang, 2015: 137). Clearly, the workplace of contemporary public service is in constant flux, causing apprehension and uncertainty, but also providing opportunities and challenges. Public service delivery involves government and private service providers in the new public administration. Kim and Yoon (2015: 148) have argued that the necessity and ultimatum for organizational transformation and novelty in public sector governance has increased in recent years, which also highlighted the competency of employees at various government levels.

Supervisory and managerial leaders in administrations need to apply a pre-emptive strategy that nurtures an effective government ethos and builds management capability. However, executive management does not have full control over the leadership ethics of service providers. Morals and values, which are the founding elements of ethics, have to be highly followed by government employees in order to embrace good governance. Moreover, ethical consideration should be a guide for selecting appropriate service providers. Leadership ethics, therefore, plays a prominent role in public service delivery.

Fox, Crigger, Botrell and Bauck (2007: 1) define ethical leadership as actions on the part of leaders to nurture an environment and culture characterized by morals and an ethos of service. Mostly, ethical breaks in organizations are due to people who willfully misbehave or act unethically. Instead, research indicates that the environment and culture influence the ethical behavior of individuals in their workplace. Employees have a tendency to adjust their own ethical orientations to the behavior they observe amongst leaders in their organization. Leaders must take active steps to foster an ethical environment and culture in government institutions.

The climate or the working environment influences leadership ethics. Numminen, Leino-Kilpi, Isoaho and Meretoja (2015: 847) define the ethical climate as individuals' discernment of how moral concerns in their work environment are controlled. The Ethical climate articulates how an organization responds to ethical matters. An ethical climate also regulates the rights or wrongs of what members, employees, and leaders in local government believe. Furthermore, it shapes their ethical decision-making and behavior. The ethical climate has three primary magnitudes: egoism, benevolence, and principle in terms of an ethical standard.

The principles identified in King III Report provide guided for local government administration (Dube, 2016: 20). The report recommends that for effective ethical leadership and corporate citizenship, the Council should offer effective leadership founded on an ethical foundation. Good corporate governance is fundamentally about effective and accountable leadership. The ethical values of obligation, accountability, fairness, and transparency characterise accountable leadership. To provide this, the leadership of the Municipality, through strategy and procedures, should build a sustainable business environment. Doing business ethically means bearing in mind the short and long-term impacts of the strategy on the economy, society and the environment and taking into account the Municipality's impact on internal and external participants (Castellano, 2014: 99).

Thornton (2013: 01) states that leading with ethics first transmutes the leader, and who, in turn, strengthens others and brings the best out of them. Ethics in leaders is a global or international phenomenon, which affects the economy and the development in all countries, including developed countries. Padilla, Hogan and Kaiser (2007: 179) state that leadership of any type depends on the person's motivation and aptitude to lead, the desire for direction and authority globally. The nature and

disposition of the followers in the society influence and affect the leadership of the society.

Bailey (2018: 236) indicates that public service delivery institutions globally are facing a lack of ethics in leadership because the senior management entitled to play a leadership role in the service delivery, focus mostly on their personal interests at the expense of their mandate of delivering services to the people. An improvement in public service delivery requires ethical leaders to embrace ethical values and instil expected ethics in the public service. The performance of governments at all levels is measured through the failure and success of delivering services to the people (Bonner, Greenbaum and Mayer, 2016: 733).

Ethical leadership and service delivery in government administration

Ethics in government leadership is the key component of good governance and ethical leaders contribute to effective public services delivery. The moral credibility of individual leaders plays a significant role in decisions made by government leaders and determine whether leaders are ethical leaders or unethical leaders (Downe, Cowell and Morgan, 2016: 899). In Finland, as in numerous other countries, the local government organization is based on a bureaucratic ideal model. The endeavor to uphold distance amid the roles of political leaders and public officers is a case as old as splitting politics and government. However, research has revealed that politics plays a serious role in administration (Joensuu and Niiranen, 2016: 26) and that the relationship amongst the two groups is much more complex. Politics and administration are regarded as different elements of the same process of articulating and applying government policy.

The involvement and influence of political leadership on public administration affects public values such as representation, equity, and individual rights. Representation is an important value in

representative democracy because people would be afforded an opportunity to elect their preferred leaders to govern. Representation means that elected officials representing citizens in the absence of direct democracy. Equity in government ensures that there is a just distribution of resources and further ensures that there are no second-class citizens. However, a lack of leadership ethics in government affects this value. When political leaders are unethical, government employees are affected because the wrong decisions will be made, directly affecting the individual rights of citizens. Public service delivery depends on decisions made by political leaders and government employees (Pandey, Davis, Pandey and Peng, 2016: 208).

The lack of appropriate leadership ethics in government officials is a global phenomenon. Hassan, Wright and Yukl (2014: 334) postulate that in the United States, ethical scandals involving political groups; violations of the privacy of reporters and world leaders; sexual harassment; and assault in the military and government reveal the importance of studies on ethical behavior and the difficulty of ensuring leadership ethics in governments globally. A national survey of 744 randomly selected government workers in the United States (Moran and Morner, 2017: 147) shows that 57 percent of government workers reported that they had observed a violation of ethical standards, policies or law in their workplace during the last 12-month period. While ethical violations can take many different forms, common examples comprise mis-reporting hours worked, employment discrimination, sexual harassment, and violations of privacy.

A lack of leadership ethics is a global dilemma, which needs serious attention because a lack of leadership ethics does not affect the economy of the country only, but it also affects the well-being of the poor citizens of countries globally. Nearly one-third (30 percent) of government employees in the United

States did not report violations of ethics because of fear of being further victimized and a possibility of job loss. Fourteen percent reported that they had been pressured to compromise ethical standards in the course of performing their jobs (Lee, 2018: 02). Leaders who compromise ethical standards directly affect the quality of public service delivery, whilst poor ethical standards result in poor public service delivery. The Constitution of the United States established a representative form of government where voted political bureaucrats exercise governance for the benefit of the citizens. Political power is shared through federalism, where power between the federal government and states is shared.

Challenges of Ethical Leadership and Service Delivery in Government Administration

Each country is judged by its effectiveness in delivering services that address the needs of all citizens. This study evaluates the implementation of the principles of the public service. According to (Crous, 2004: 574), public service delivery is the services offered by the government to the people. Government exists at all levels, to provide services such as roads, education, social services, human settlement, protection services, health services home affairs, among others. Ethical leadership is important in public services because government institutions are the custodians of public funds. Government institutions need ethical leaders to handle government funds and provide effective public services.

Administrators of public institutions help in developing the specific practices and subsidiary systems, which can be intricate and may need widespread modification. They help overcome core organizational resistance to change and they work with the public in evolving educative, facilitative and regulatory ways to augment policy efficiency (Wang, Van Wart and Lebrede, 2014: 363).

The biggest challenge in government administration is that political leadership relies on the administrators to draft processes and procedures to be followed in service delivery. It is therefore important to have political leaders who understand government systems and who possess the expected ethics in order to guide the administrators in government activities. Government Leaders who do not understand the impact of policies and procedures on public service delivery are most likely to compromise ethics to suit those who manipulate processes. Nguyen, Groth, Walsh and Hennig-Thurau (2014: 1096) have argued that employees' actions have a decisive impact on customer attitudes and behaviors.

Leadership experience determines the effectiveness of public service delivery. Manhas and Tukamushaba (2015: 78) have defined service experience as the biased individual reactions and feelings by consumers when consuming or using a service. Service experience has a significant effect on customer assessment and gratification with a given service. A better and thorough consideration of an experiential phenomenon in local government is particularly important and it encourages local leadership to perform better. Citizens' experiences in any government are based on how leaders prioritize service delivery. Effective service delivery is critical in the enhancement of memories about government.

For any organization or government institution to have a good reputation, the quality of service experience must take center stage. Leadership must always prioritize community experiences and expectations with the service performance and quality of the services. Government image and service quality views share too many landscapes, as people have a perception that government employees are not giving their best when performing their duties.

Ethics improve the competency of government employees and leadership because ethics and morals

remain the most-contributing factor to providing effective public services. Ethics globally are not the only factor in public service delivery. Berman, Bowman, West and Van Wart (2016: 6) have argued that changes in the external environment, corporate globalism, changing values and management philosophies have greatly affected the delivery of public services. The explosion of information technologies alone raises new technical and ethical issues which were unknown a few years ago, which require new skills. Similarly, supra-national organizations such as the World Trade Organization, world environmental groups, multinational corporations and other non-governmental organizations help shape government policy and its implementation by public servants.

Ethical leadership challenge in South Africa

The South African government is facing a challenge of good and ethical leaders in terms of the way they appear to communities and to their constituencies. However, being a 'good' leader does not mean a leader has leadership ethics. A study by Irwin (2011: 9) states that bribery, corruption and fraud are the result of a lack of ethics in leadership. Data from Statistics South Africa (2017:5) showed that 24% of South Africans agreed that corruption in South Africa had decreased, while 14% felt it had remained the same and 62% said it had increased. The statistics indicated that the members of the public viewed public servants as a set of people who perpetrate corruption, and a lack of adequate leadership ethics in those entrusted to fight corruption has been a challenge.

In 2016, a government performance index by a research and advocacy group, Good Governance in Africa (GGA), found that nine of the 10 worst performing municipalities were in the Eastern Cape and KwaZulu-Natal Provinces (Good-Governance-Africa, 2016: 2). One of the reasons cited by the report was the lack of ethical leadership in local leadership, but there was no recommendation made

to address the problem (Good-Governance-Africa, 2016: 2). Mostly, unethical leadership hampered the provisions of essential public services, including water and electricity, sanitation, refuse removal, housing, health facilities and access to police. Lizeka (2018: 1) provided evidence to confirm that lack of ethics in leadership was the bedrock of the poor performance of municipalities in KwaZulu Natal Province.

This is an indication of the primacy of leadership for municipalities to achieve standards of excellence. KwaZulu Natal province has 54 municipalities, 53 of which have received a qualified audit. The main reason for a qualified audit raised by the Auditor General is poor ethics and lack of political will to enforce ethics in leadership by those who are in positions of authority. Msunduzi Municipality is one of the municipalities in the province that received a qualified audit in the 2018/19 audit (Ntuli, 2019: 01).

The Auditor-General (AG) (Business-Report (2017: 2) showed that 14 municipalities in South Africa lost their clean audit opinions in the financial year of 2016/2017 and that only nine joined the category of clean audits. The report also showed that 49 municipalities achieved clean audits. Therefore, the improvement in the audit outcomes of municipalities with 15% improving, 13% regressing, and 67% remaining unchanged showed that ethics in local government remains a big challenge. Donnelly (2018: 1) states that many municipalities obtained a qualified audit because of fruitless and wasteful expenditure. In a majority of the municipalities in South Africa, irregularities and a lack of accountability are the impediments to effective public service delivery.

Leadership Imperatives for Effective Service Delivery in South Africa: Batho Pele Principles in Focus

According to Local Government Action (2016: 2), the Batho Pele (people first) Principles are principles that guide government employees on how to handle service delivery. Government initiated and implemented the Batho Pele Principles with the purpose of addressing the gap between what the community needs and how public servants provide public services. Principles are mainly meant to change the perception of public administration by ensuring that the public is treated as customers, not as just mere end-users of public services. The principles were developed for the purposes of bringing dignity to communities when public services are provided. The following Batho Pele Principles as per Local Government Action (2016: 3) enhance the effectiveness of public service delivery:

“1. Consultation

Citizens must always be properly consulted about government plans for public services. The public must be consulted about the quality of the public services they will receive and the public must be given a choice.

2. Service standards

Citizens must be told about what level and quality of public service they will receive in order for them to be aware of the standard or quality of services the government is planning to provide.

3. Access

All citizens must be granted equal access to the services that they are entitled to at all times.

4. Courtesy

Citizens have to be treated with courtesy, at the same time considering the dignity of the people.

5. Information

Citizens must be provided with full, accurate

information about the government services they are entitled to receive.

6. Openness and transparency

Citizens must be told how the South African government operates and about the three spheres of government and their powers, which must be made available to the citizens.

7. Redress

The government must be able to apologize politely if the expected services to the people are not delivered. Public complaints must be taken into consideration and be addressed effectively.

8. Value for money

Public funds must not be exhausted when services are offered. Public services must be offered economically and efficiently”.

Customer satisfaction through Batho Pele Principles has become widely accepted as an important concept in the South African government and has been used as a benchmark for measuring government performance. At all government levels, institutions have created programs, including surveys, to determine service quality, mainly using the suggestion box, particularly in municipalities in order to improve public service delivery. This is carried out by following a feedback ring that allows service changes to be implemented and evaluated with subsequent survey data. However, community members hardly make suggestions when they identify unethical activities because of the belief that it is not useful to report unethical activities to the perpetrators themselves (Public Service Commission, 2015: 8).

Effective public service delivery could only be achieved if organizations empower their employees to deliver quality services, guided by previous customer evaluations of service quality dimensions. These dimensions include physical facilities, equipment, the appearance of personnel and mostly

the reliability of government leadership, which involves the ability to perform the promised services dependably and accurately. In order to build up a good government image, the provision of ethical leaders in government is one of the competitive requirements. According to Hadi, Handajani and Putra (2018: 72), a good image of government is important, particularly at the local government level because it gives hope to the citizens and encourages investors to invest. It also maintains a sustainable growth. Government image can be developed over time through employing qualified personnel and giving responsibilities to ethical leaders, with a consistent theme that is authenticated through the citizens' direct experience (Rhodes, 2018: 15).

Ethical leaders encourage government employees to be ethical and prioritize customers at all times. Gountas, Gountas and Mavondo (2014: 108) stated that maintainable economical advantage is likely to depend on an organization's level of orientation, which means setting and preserving a culture that effectively nurtures the behavior that leads to customers' perception of greater value and improved service delivery. Government should consider the orientation of the market, which entails listening to, and understanding customers' desires and bringing about solutions and services that meet customers' needs, which indicates a customer orientation.

Customer orientation in government is different from customer orientation in private institutions. Rozhentsova and Mottaeva (2017: 1) states that people with customer orientation in private institutions are more active in improving their industry knowledge and skills and are better at recognizing and empathizing with customers' needs. Customer orientation is often theorized as either a cultural or a behavioral phenomenon. However, customer orientation is often affected by organizational culture, particularly leadership style and leadership ethics in government. Individuals do not necessarily work in isolation, thus it is rational to

acknowledge the organizational circumstances that might influence customer orientation. Indeed, optimal service delivery performance is not the sole responsibility of the frontline service providers, but also all government officials must take full responsibility.

Ethics are important in public service delivery because it improves public service delivery and standards. Circumstances such as standards for service delivery are key influence on staff conduct in organizations, as they absolutely contribute towards employees' effect and performance on the job. Consequently, organizational factors work together with the individual employee's psychological features, which contain self-efficacy and job gratification as inspirations for customer-orientation attitude and job performance. The service workers' self-belief about their own aptitude to perform the job well is openly linked with a customer service orientation, as is gratification and job satisfaction derived from effectively interacting well with customers. At an organizational level, customer orientation has three backgrounds: management standards for service delivery, supervisor support, and co-worker support. As noted earlier, culture is a crucial influence on behavioral results, influencing the service provider's effect and performance behavior. This is enhanced by the support functions provided by local government leaders, supervisors and co-workers (Public Service Regulations, 2015).

The Prevalence of unethical leadership in South Africa

The South African government has degenerated from applying expected leadership ethics to unethical behavior, as has been witnessed in many senior government officials. The rise of many departments receiving qualified audits from the Auditor General shows higher levels of non-compliance or failure to apply effective leadership by those who are entrusted with the leadership responsibility in government institutions (Maroun,

2015: 22). Leaders who are good at manipulating other people by not telling the truth have characterized the South African government. Some government employees are manipulating the Auditor General and some even attempt to bribe. In South Africa, people are using their positions to force others to accept whatever is offered or else they will lose their jobs or they will be an easy target. Ethical government leaders are, in many cases, are scapegoats, especially where politicians shift the blame just to show the public that someone is responsible and accountable. In government institutions, people are aware of unethical practices and activities, but they are not exposing them because they are afraid of the personal victimization by those who are involved. It is slowly becoming a norm to find senior managers instructing junior managers to falsify documents, sneak in submissions after the closing date, confirming the employment of someone who no longer works for the department and in some cases, of people who have never worked for the department (Von Eck, 2016: 5).

Cheteni and Shindika (2017: 07) point out that leadership ethics in the democratic government is clearly articulated in the legislative frameworks, better than in the apartheid government. Employment and personnel management practices in the public sector have however changed recently. It is no longer based on ability, objectivity and the merit of employed people. Nepotism and corruption are rising on a daily basis. The transformation of public sector institutions in South Africa is not clear on public sector ethics and leadership ethics.

Moeng (2019: 6) states that the electoral system used in South Africa deprives the community of the right to elect their preferred candidates. Much as all South Africans agree that, no political formation or political party is more important than the interests of the country are. Besides, the ideas that bring people together must be held higher than those that seem to keep people apart. Political parties have their own

internal processes to elect their own leaders and the deployment of their candidates in government. Community members who are not members of the ruling or winning political parties do not have input into who must be elected as a leader and who must be deployed to government.

According to Woermann (2012: 90), there are three challenges to ensuring ethical conduct in public sector institutions: firstly, the apartheid legacy is still entrenched in the daily lives of the people in South Africa. The Black population still relies heavily on government for welfare, but also for contracts. Many people, including the leaders, view the government budget as the best pathway to wealth and to goods and services. This indicates that a large number of senior government officials are doing business with the government, which is an unethical conduct that is not permissible. This has affected government service delivery and has become a primary source of corruption, which therefore emphasizes the urgent need for clean and accountable governance. Secondly, the material conditions that include high poverty levels, the higher rate of unemployment and systematic and structural inequalities in societies affect the contemporary ethical and material challenges facing the country. Thirdly and finally yet importantly, government systems for revamping or enhancing public sector ethics are not decisive or effective enough to hold any public sector employee who has been found to be unethical accountable. The ruling political party protects leaders based on their loyalty to the political party.

Munzhedzi (2016: 5) points out that the current state of affairs in the South African government is challenging because Parliament as the highest decision-making body in the country is failing to hold government officials accountable for wrongdoings. Opposition parties have always raised the issues of accountability in the parliament. Nevertheless, the ruling party has the majority of

members in the parliament. It always exploits this to oppress those ideas mainly because they affect senior employees who are members of the ruling party or deployed or even employed in the government by the ruling party.

Impact of ethics on service delivery

The competency of government employees remains the most contributory factor to effective service delivery. According to Berman *et al.* (2016: 6), the rapidly changing external environment, corporate globalism, changing values and management philosophies have greatly affected the delivery of public services. The use of information technologies alone raised new technical and ethical issues that were unknown a few years ago and, which required new skills. Similarly, supra-national organizations, such as the World Trade Organisation, world environmental groups, multinational corporations and other non-governmental organizations help shape local government policy and its implementation by public servants.

Likewise, changes in the internal environment of public service, increased sector mobility, privatization, and devolution require a re-thinking of who provides services and how they are delivered. Clearly, the workplace of today's public service professional is in constant flux, causing apprehension and uncertainty, whilst also providing opportunities and challenges. Kim and Yoon (2015: 148) argue that the need and demand for organizational change and innovation in local governance has increased in recent years, which also highlighted the competency of employees at the local government level. Executive and managerial leaders in local governments need to apply a proactive strategy that fosters an effective government culture and builds management capability. Government employees have to follow morals and values, which are the founding elements of ethics, in order to embrace good governance.

Wang, Van Wart and Lebrede (2014: 363) postulate that officers of public institutions lend expertise to the specific practices and supporting systems, which can be complex and may need extensive fine-tuning; they help overcome internal organizational resistance to change; and they work with the community in evolving educative, facilitative and regulatory ways to enhance policy efficiency. The biggest challenge in local government is that the local leadership relies on the administrators to draft the processes and procedures to be followed in service delivery. It is therefore important to have leaders who understand the local government system and possess the required ethics in order to guide administrators at the local government level. Leadership that does not understand the impact of policies and procedures on public service delivery is most likely to compromise ethics to suit those who manipulate processes.

Nguyen *et al.* (2014: 1096) have argue that employees' actions have a decisive impact on customer attitudes and behaviors. Leadership ethics play a very big role in how employees perform their duties, which informs the attitude of the public. Ethics followed by leaders are informed by the attitude of leaders, which has an impact on service delivery. Organizational success is informed by the ethics of leaders in each institution. A key challenge for many organizations is managing the ethics and behaviors of frontline employees in order to provide a more consistent service experience for customers. One strategy is to control, deliberately, the behavior of frontline employees with service standards.

CONCLUSION

Senior government leaders are expected to provide leadership and guide junior employees in government institutions. Senior government leaders themselves must be appointed based on merit. However, government institutions are clouded by leaders who are deployed by political parties to advance the mandate of the political party and

sometimes not of the general population. South Africa needs credible leaders who have lengthy experience in how to lead people and deliver the mandate of the people. The appointment of senior government employees is handled by politicians, even if due human resource processes are followed. However, political leaders always have their way to make sure that their preferred candidate is appointed. Many cases of unethical appointments in South Africa prove that South African leaders are unethical. There are still those who are ethical, but those who are unethical oppress them.

REFERENCES

1. Appelbaum, S. H., Degbe, M. C., MacDonald, O. and Nguyen-Quang, T.-S. 2015. Organizational outcomes of leadership style and resistance to change (Part Two). *Industrial and Commercial Training*, 47 (3): 135-144.
2. Bailey, S. K. 2018. Ethics and the public service. In: *Classics of Administrative Ethics*. Routledge, 63-78.
3. Berman, D., Bowman, J. S., West, J. P. and Van Wart, M. 2016. *The Professional Edge: Competencies in Public Service: Competencies in Public Service*. Routledge.
4. Bonner, J. M., Greenbaum, R. L. and Mayer, D. M. 2016. My boss is morally disengaged: The role of ethical leadership in explaining the interactive effect of supervisor and employee moral disengagement on employee behaviors. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 137 (4): 731-742.
5. Business-Report. 2017. *Auditor-General Report: Municipalities lack accountability*. Available: <https://www.iol.co.za/business-report/auditor-general-report-municipalities-lack-accountability-9904121> (Accessed 12 June 2018).
6. Carrizales, T., Zahradnik, A. and Silverio, M. 2016. Organizational advocacy of cultural

- competency initiatives: Lessons for public administration. *Public Administration Quarterly*: 126-155.
7. Castellano, M. B. 2014. Ethics of Aboriginal Research. *Global bioethics and human rights: Contemporary issues*, 273
 8. Cheteni, P. and Shindika, E. S. 2017. *Ethical Leadership in South Africa and Botswana*. Available: www.scielo.br/pdf/bar/v14n2/1807-7692-bar-14-02-e160077 (Accessed 06 June 2018).
 9. Ciulla, J. B. 2014. *Ethics, the heart of leadership*. ABC-CLIO.
 10. Crous, M. 2004. *SERVICE DELIVERY IN THE SOUTH AFRICAN*
 11. *PUBLIC SERVICE: IMPLEMENTATION OF THE*
 12. *BATHO PELE PRINCIPLES BY STATISTICS*
 13. *SOUTH AFRICA*. Available: https://repository.up.ac.za/bitstream/handle/2263/3916/Crous_Service%282004%29.pdf?sequence=1&isAllowed=y (Accessed 03 June 2019).
 14. Donnelly, L. 2018. *Local government audits regress*. Available: <https://mg.co.za/article/2018-05-23-local-government-audits-regress> (Accessed 14 July 2018).
 15. Dorasamy, N. 2010. The impact of the global crisis on ethical leadership: A case study of the South African public sector. *African Journal of Business Management*, 4 (10): 2087.
 16. Downe, J., Cowell, R. and Morgan, K. 2016. What determines ethical behavior in public organizations: Is it rules or leadership? *Public Administration Review*, 76 (6): 898-909.
 17. Dube, Z. L. 2016. The King Reports on Corporate Governance in South Africa: An Ubuntu African Philosophy Analysis. In: *Corporate Governance in Africa*. Springer, 199-222.
 18. Ethics-Research-Centre. 2017. *ETHICAL LEADERSHIP AROUND THE WORLD*
 19. *And Why It Matters*. Available: <https://higherlogicdownload.s3.amazonaws.com/THEECO/11f760b1-56e0-43c6-85da-03df2ce2b5ac/UploadedImages/research/EthicalLeadership-ExecutiveSummary.pdf> (Accessed 25 July 2018).
 20. Fourie, D. 2018. Ethics in municipal supply chain management in South Africa. *Local Economy*, 33 (7): 726-739.
 21. Fox, E., Crigger, B. J., Botrell, M. M. and Bauck, P. 2007. *Ethical Leadership: Fostering an Ethical Environment and Culture*. Available: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/283273211_Ethical_Leadership_Fostering_an_Ethical_Environment_and_Culture (Accessed 07 December 2018).
 22. Good-Governance-Africa. 2016. *Government Performance in*
 23. *South Africa 2016*. Available: <https://gga.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/02/English-summary.pdf> (Accessed 25 July 2018).
 24. Gountas, S., Gountas, J. and Mavondo, F. T. 2014. Exploring the associations between standards for service delivery (organisational culture), co-worker support, self-efficacy, job satisfaction and customer orientation in the real estate industry. *Australian Journal of Management*, 39 (1): 107-126.
 25. Hadi, A., Handajani, L. and Putra, I. N. N. A. 2018. Financial Disclosure based on Web-ICT Determinants: Its Implications for Local Government Financial Performance in Indonesia. *International research journal of*

- management, *IT and social sciences*, 5 (1): 72-85.
26. Hassan, S., Wright, B. E. and Yukl, G. 2014. Does ethical leadership matter in government? Effects on organizational commitment, absenteeism, and willingness to report ethical problems. *Public Administration Review*, 74 (3): 333-343.
 27. Irwin, J. 2011. *Doing business in South Africa: an overview of ethical aspects*. Available: https://www.ibe.org.uk/userassets/publicationdownloads/ibe_occasional_paper_4_doing_business_in_south_africa.pdf (Accessed 12 June 2018).
 28. Joensuu, M. and Niiranen, V. 2016. *Political leaders and public administrators: Interaction patterns and pictures in Finnish local government decision-making processes*. Available: <https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/abs/10.1177/0952076716673898> (Accessed 18 March 2019).
 29. Kim, S. and Yoon, G. 2015. An Innovation-Driven Culture in Local Government: Do Senior Manager's Transformational Leadership and the Climate for Creativity Matter? *Public Personnel Management*, 44 (2): 147-168.
 30. Krasikova, D. V., Green, S. G. and LeBreton, J. M. 2013. Destructive leadership: A theoretical review, integration, and future research agenda. *Journal of Management*, 39 (5): 1308-1338.
 31. Kuwana, P. 2017. *Here's South Africa's real problem: Ethics tossed out the window*. Available: <https://www.biznews.com/thought-leaders/2017/07/17/south-africa-real-problem-ethics/> (Accessed 12 June 2018).
 32. Lee, J. 2018. *Workers Feel Increasingly Pressured to Compromise Ethical Standards, Says ECI*. Available: <https://www.triplepundit.com/story/2018/workers-feel-increasingly-pressured-compromise-ethical-standards-says-eci/12901> (Accessed 31 October 2019).
 33. Lizeka, T. 2018. *55 municipalities have been identified as dysfunctional*. Available: <https://mg.co.za/article/2018-03-20-55-municipalities-are-dysfunctional-mkhize> (Accessed 03 August 2018).
 34. Local Government Action. 2016. *The Batho Pele Principles*. Available: <http://localgovernmentaction.org.dedi6.cpt3.host-h.net/content/batho-pele-principles> (Accessed 21 June 2019).
 35. Manhas, P. S. and Tukamushaba, E. K. 2015. Understanding service experience and its impact on brand image in hospitality sector. *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, 45: 77-87.
 36. Maroun, W. 2015. Reportable irregularities and audit quality: Insights from South Africa. In: *Proceedings of Accounting Forum*. Taylor & Francis, 21-33.
 37. Moeng, S. 2019. *The impunity in the veins of South African politics*. Available: <https://www.thesouthafrican.com/opinion/impunity-in-south-african-politics-2019/> (Accessed 23 June 2019).
 38. Moran, B. B. and Morner, C. J. 2017. *Library and information center management*. ABC-CLIO.
 39. Munzhedzi, P. H. 2016. *Fostering public accountability in South Africa: A reflection on challenges and successes*. Available: <https://td-sa.net/index.php/td/article/view/339/330> (Accessed 23 June 2019).
 40. Nguyen, H., Groth, M., Walsh, G. and Hennig-Thurau, T. 2014. The impact of service scripts on customer citizenship behavior and the moderating role of

- employee customer orientation. *Psychology & Marketing*, 31 (12): 1096-1109.
41. Ntuli, N. 2019. *AG: Just one clean audit in KZN*. Available: <https://www.news24.com/SouthAfrica/News/ag-just-one-clean-audit-in-kzn-20190626> (Accessed 29 October 2019).
42. Numminen, O., Leino-Kilpi, H., Isoaho, H. and Meretoja, R. 2015. Ethical climate and nurse competence—newly graduated nurses' perceptions. *Nursing ethics*, 22 (8): 845-859.
43. Padilla, A., Hogan, R. and Kaiser, R. B. 2007. The toxic triangle: Destructive leaders, susceptible followers, and conducive environments. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 18 (3): 176-194.
44. Pandey, S. K., Davis, R. S., Pandey, S. and Peng, S. 2016. Transformational leadership and the use of normative public values: Can employees be inspired to serve larger public purposes? *Public Administration*, 94 (1): 204-222.
45. Public Service Commission. 2015. *Citizen Satisfaction Suvery Report, South African Government*. Available: <https://www.gov.za> (Accessed 6 February 2019).
46. Public Service Regulations. 2015. *Standards of Services in Public Services*. Available: <https://www.juta.co.za/filestore>2015> (Accessed 9 February 2019).
47. Reddy, J. 2017. South Africa urgently needs ethical leaders. *Mail & Guardian*, 04 May 2017 Available: <https://mg.co.za/article/2017-05-04-00-south-africa-urgently-needs-ethical-leaders> (Accessed 05 June 2018).
48. Rhodes, R. A. W. 2018. *Control and power in central-local government relations*. Routledge.
49. Rozhentsova, I. and Mottaeva, A. 2017. Terms of orientation on customer needs in the housing sector. In: *Proceedings of MATEC Web of Conferences*. EDP Sciences, 08076.
50. Schoeman, C. 2012. *Ethical leadership in the public sector*. Available: <http://www.ethicsmonitor.co.za/Articles/Ethical-leadership.pdf> (Accessed 06 June 2018).
51. Thornton, L. F. 2013. *10 questions on leading with ethics*. Available: <https://leadingincontext.com/tag/ethical-leadership-in-a-global-context/> (Accessed 10 June 2018).
52. Von Eck, C. 2016. *When professionals breach the Code of Ethics*. Available: <https://www.iiasa.org.za/blogpost/889226/251204/When-professionals-breach-the-Code-of-Ethics> (Accessed 22 June 2019).
53. Wang, H., Demerouti, E. and Bakker, A. B. 2016. A review of job-crafting research: The role of leader behaviors in cultivating successful job crafters. In: *Proactivity at work*. Routledge, 95-122.
54. Wang, X., Van Wart, M. and Lebreo, N. 2014. Sustainability leadership in a local government context: The administrator's role in the process. *Public Performance & Management Review*, 37 (3): 339-364.
55. Woermann, M. 2012. *Review of the second annual Ethics SA conference*.
56. *An investigation of the state of ethics in South Africa*. Available: <http://ajobe.journals.ac.za/pub/article/viewFile/12/34> (Accessed 23 June 2019).
57. Xu, A. J., Loi, R. and Ngo, H.-y. 2016. Ethical leadership behavior and employee justice perceptions: The mediating role of trust in organization. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 134 (3): 493-504.